## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-037		
Complainant:	No.	1329210064A
Judge:	No.	1329210064B

## **ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint as well as the transcripts and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 30, 2008

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 30, 2008

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

PRIZONA COMMISSION ON JUCICIAL CONDUIT PAGE 1 OF 2
DEAR SIR OR M'AM. FEBRUARY 13, 2008

DURING THE MONTH OF MAY-2007 I WAS
PREPARING FOR TRIAL. WHILE THE COURT WAS TRYING TO
PICK A JURY PANEL. THEN A TAINTED JURROR WAS
PISCOUERED. THEY KEPT A THINTED JURY, AND
PROCEEDED FORWARD. JUDGE
OF THE

SUPERIOR COURT MADE A COMMENT IN OPEN COURT.

SHE SAID AFTER CAREFUL REVIEW OF THE FACTS.
AND EUIDENCE IN THIS CASE, SHE WAS READY TO CALL
FOR AN AQUITTAL. EXCEPT THAT THEY HAD ALREADY
PICKED A JURY AND SHE DIDNT WANT TO WASTE THE
TAX PAYERS MONEY.

SHE WAS TRYING TO TRY THE CASE BEFORE
THE JURY HAD IT'S CHANCE TO. THIS CONSTITUTES A
UIOLATION OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT UNDER:

CANON 3 B. ADJUDICATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES.

9) A JUDGE SHALL NOT, WHILE A PROCEEDING IS
PENDING OR IMPENDING IN ANY COURT, MAKE ANY
PUBLIC COMMENT THAT MIGHT REASONABLY BE EXPECTED
TO AFFECT IT'S OUTCOME OR IMPAIR IT'S FAIRNESS, OR
MAKE ANY NONPURLIC COMMENT THAT MIGHT SUBSTANTIALLY INTERFERE WITH A FAIR TRIAL OR
HEARING.

I AM NOT GUILTY OF ANY CRIME. BUT I HAD
TWO ATTORNESS WHO DID NOT PREPARE A DEFENSE, NOR
DID THEY GIVE ANYTHING TO THE JURY. I WAS FOUND
GUILTY WITH NO EUIDENCE AGAINST ME. THE TRIAL
ENDED WITH A MISTRIAL.

米

1 5 2008

\*\*