State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 08-053	
Complainant:	No	. 1330110730A
Judge:	No	. 1330110730B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve a legal decision made by the judge on the basis of the facts and evidence presented to him. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: March 20, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 20, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-08-053

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 2/23/08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

THE PLANWTIFF NOW A FORMER FRIEND BY MY CHOICE HAD
MADE AN INTEREST FREE LOAM TO ME IN THE AMOUNT OF \$ 528.74 FOR
VEHICLE PARTS, WHEW I ENDED MY ASSOCIATION WITH THE PLAINTIFF BECAUSE OF
HIS ANTI-AMERICAN VIEWS (RADICAL) I WAS MET WITH THREATS REVENCE EVEN
THOUGH, I ASSURED HIM I WOULD REPAY THE LOAW AS PROMISED. THE FIRST
PAYMENT WAS ISSUED AS AGREGO.
THE PLAINTILL FILEO A SMALL CLAIMS AGAINST ME THAT
CONSISTED OF TWO PARTS. HE WANTED TO ADD INTEREST TO THE LOTAW AWD
CLAMED I HOTO ONLY MADE ONE PAYMENT. BEFORE ANSWERING THIS CLAIM
I WROTE A SHORT LETTED TELLING HIM BY LAW HE COULDN'T
ADD INTEREST AWO I WAS PAYING. I SUGSESTED HE DROP THE LAW-
SUIT, HE REPLYED WITH A LETTER DE RAMBUNOS AND SLAWDERUS ACCUSATIONS
THAT HAD ZEED TO DO WITH ANEITHING. I ON OF GOOD WILL SENT
MOWEY ORDER TO TO BE APRIED TOWARDS THE LUMIN
AMOUNT, HE RETURNED IT. I ANSWERED THE CLAIM STATING THIS WAS
AW INTEREST FREE LOAW, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A
AW INTEREST FREE LOAW, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A
AW INTEREST FREE LOAW, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET . I ENTERED THE COURT
AW INTEREST FREE LOAW, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN-
AW INTEREST FREE LOAM, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDAE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN- CLUDING PAYMENT AND ALSO IN HOMO WAS PAYMENT. THE
AW INTEREST FREE LOAM, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN- CLUDING PAYMENT AND ALSO IN HONO WAS PAYMENT. THE PLAINTIEF SPOKE FIRST STATING HIS WISH TO HAVE INTEREST ADDED TO THE
AW INTEREST FREE LOAM, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN- GLUDING PAYMENT AND ALSO IN HONO WAS PAYMENT. THE PLAINTIEF SPOKE FIRST STATING HIS WISH TO MAKE INTEREST ADDED TO THE LOAM AND STATED I HAD GALH MADE ONE PAYMENT, JUDGE
AW INTEREST FREE LOAM, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN- CLUDING PAYMENT AND ALSO IN HONO WAS PAYMENT. THE PLAINTIEF SPOKE FIRST STATING HIS WISH TO HAVE INTEREST ADDED TO THE LOAM AND STATED I HAD SNIH MADE ONE PAYMENT, JUDGE TURNED TO ME AND ASKED WHAT I HAD TO SAY ABOUT
AW INTEREST FREE LOAM, WAS PAID TO DATE AND INDICATED A GRUDGE. THE HEARING WAS SET ROOM WITH PROOF IN HAND THAT EVERY PAYMENT HAD BEEN PAID TO DATE IN- CLUDING PAYMENT AND ALSO IN HOW DIMAS PAYMENT. THE PLAINTIEF SPOKE FIRST STATING HIS WISH TO HAVE INTEREST ADDED TO THE LOAM AND STATED I HAD SALL MADE ONE PAYMENT. JUDGE TURNED TO ME AND ASKED WHAT I HAD TO SAY AROUT CLAIM OF OULL ONE PAYMENT HAD BEEN MADE. I SHOWED THE JUDGE

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

PAGE 2 OF COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

BE MISTAKEN. THE PLAINTIFF SEEMED WERLOWS AND RATHER CONFUSED AWD MADE OTHER STATEMENTS THAT MADE WO SENSE. THE JUDGE TURNED BACK TO ME I INFORMED HIM WHAT HMO SAID WAS INCORRECT ADOUT PAYMENT DATES ETC. I INFORMED JUDGE AND SHOWED HIM THE PAYMENT THAT WAS SENT BACK. I INDICATED THAT I . KNEW INTEREST COULDN'T BE ADDED TO THE LOAW AWO I HAD PROJEW CHAIM REGARDING PAYMENTS MADE WAS FALSE AND I ASK THAT THIS CASE BE DISMISSED AND I RECOVER FEE TO AWSWER. THE JUDGE TURNED TO AND ASKED IF HE HATO AWY THING ELSE TO SAY. BEGIN TO MAKE STATEMENTS ABOUT HIS DISABILITY STATUS IN COMPARISON TO MINE, SAID I WORKED FOR HIM AWD HE PAID ME AWD THAT THE SO-CALLED WITNESS HE BROUGHT TO COURT WAS SOMEONE I ALSO WORKED FOR, THE JUDGE ASKED IF HE HAYO AWY KNOWLEDGE OF THE LOWN, SAID NO, THE JUDGE TURNED BACK TO ME AS THOUGH THESE RAMBLINGS OF MATTERED SOMEHOW. I REPLIED THE REASON I CEASED BEING A SSOCIATED WITH IS THAT HE'S A LIAR, JUDGE THEW INFORMED ME HE WAS ENTERING A JUDGEMENT FOR THE RE-MAINING BALANCE OF THE LOWN AND THERE WOULD BE OTHER MEANS AVAILABLE FOR TO COLLECT. AS HE STOOD TO EXIT THE COURT ROOM HE INFORMED ME HE WAS TACKING ON THE L'DAW BALAWCE COURT COSTS OF \$ 34.91. I BLURTED " I GET STUCK WITH THE COURT COSTS, HE REPLIED THATS CURRECT AND QUICKLY EXITED THE COURT ROOM, I WAS SHOCKED! I PROVED IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAO BLATEWILLY MIS REPRESENTED THE FACTS REGARDING PAYMENTS ON THIS LUAN. THE JUDGE, HE COULD NOT RULE INTEREST BE ADDED TO THIS LOAW.

THE JUDGE SOMEHOW IN A MATTER OF MINUTES LEGITIMIZED STATEMENTS MADE BY THE PLAINTIFF THAT HAD ABSOLUTLY NO RELIVANCE TO THIS CLAIM, I PROJED MY AWBWER PROFOUNDLY. THIS CASE SHOULD BEGW DISMISSED FOR FURTHER ARGUMBUT I HAVE ENCLOSED LETTERS I WROTE TO JUDGE AT THE RECOMMENDATION OF A CONST HOUSE WARKER AFTER I EXPLAINED WHAT OCCURRED. I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS SITUATION WITH TWO LAWYERS. I ALSO WROTE A LETTER TO JUDGE

PAGE 3 OF COMPLAINT AGRINST JUDGE

BOTH WERE DISGUSTED. THEY BOTH TOLD ME THIS OUTCOME IS UERY SUSPICIOUS. THEY CLAMED WHAT THIS DUDGE HAD DOWE WAS TO ALTER THE TERMS OF A LOAN WHICH IS NOT LEGAL. THAT THIS CASE DID NOT REQUIRE A JUDGEMENT, A DUDGEMENT THAT CONSEQUENTLY ADDED INTEREST AND LEAVES ME VUNERABLE TO OTHER ACTIONS FOR COLLECTION OF THIS LOAN, THIS WAS A BLATANT MANIPULATION OF THE LAW FOR REASONS I'M NOT SURE OF AND ABSOLUTLY UNFAIR. PEOPLE WHO HAVE BORROWED MONEY AND ARE MAKING THE PAYMENTS ARE IN DAWGER WITH JUDGES LIKE

ON THE BOUCH, HE SHOULD BE DISMISSED.