State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 08-069	
Complainant:	No	. 1331400294A
Judge:	No	. 1331400294B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that there was no ethical misconduct.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 30, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 30, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-08-069

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 3-18-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

the state of the s	us anderstand your complaint.
my case was Brought Before	in Dec of
I PLEADED GUALTY TO THE CHARGES.	on July 26
THERE WAS A CHANGE OF PLEA. THE PR	OSECUTOR WAS
CHANGED TO:	BAVE
ME A NEW PLEA WITH PROBATION AVA	LABLE FOR coming
FORWARD WITH INFORMATION ABOUT SOM	EONE WANTING
ME TO KILL THE WITNESSES IN HIS CAS	E. I WAS RELEASED
ON MY DWN WITHOUT POSTING BOND BE	CAUSE THE STATE
KNEW MY LIFE WOULD BE IN DANGER	IF I REMAINED
IN JAIL THIS WAS STATED ON THE BE	CORD By
IN JAIL, THIS WAS STATED ON THE RE	
AGREE TO THIS AND ORDER MY RELEASE.	WHILE I WAS ON
RELEASE IN WAS RE-ARRETED, I WAS N	SOT GUILTY OF
THESE NEW CHARGES. JUDGE	AND THE NEW
PROSECUTOR SAID TO	GET 25 YEARS
IF I WENT TO TRAIL AND LOST. BUT IF I	TOOK A LO MEAR
PLEA DEAL I WOULD BE BETTER OFF I P	EFUSED THIS OFFER.
I WAS NOT GUILTY. I WENT TO TRIAL A	ind was found
NOT GUILTY ON MAN 34, THIS MADE	JUDGE
VERY MAD. HE TOLD MY	ATTORNEY
THAT HE WAS GOING TO AGGRA	NATE MY SENTENCE
AND STACK THEM. THEN JUDGE	WAS SENT
TO ANOTHER COURT AND MY CASE WA	AS GIVING TO
ANOTHER Judge, who work with J	udge
	

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

	TO GIVE ME A AGGRAVATED SENTENCE OF 6
	YEARS WHEN HE KNEW MY LIFE WOULD BE
	IN GRAVE DANGER SO IM CLEAR THE NEW CASE
	NUMBER CR WAS THE NEW
	CHARGES. NOW THE STATE HAS BROUGHT ME BACK
	TO THE COUNTY JAIL TO TESTIFY FOR
·	THE STATE. I WILL NOT DO THIS BECAUSE OF
	JUDGE ACTION IN PUTTING MY LIFE
	IN DANGER. WHEN YOU HAVE 2 JUDGES AGAINST
	YOU YOU DON'T HAVE A CHANCE. THE PLEA I WAS
	GIVIN BY THE PROSECUTOR WAS
	SO MY LIFE WOLLDUT BE IN DANGER JUDGE
	TOTALLY AGREE TO THIS. HE ORDER
	my RELEAGE ON JULY DG. I NOW HAVE
	A RULE 32 PENDING IN THIS MATTER IN WHICH
	IWILL APPEAL TO THE APPEAL'S COURT AND
	EXPLAIN HOW THE PRESUMTIVE WAS 3,5 YEARS
	WITH PROBATION AVAILABLE. I ALREADY HAD 3
***************************************	YEARS IN THE COUNTY JAIL I WAS WORKING
	WITH THE POLICE PUTTING TOGETHER
	THE EVIDENCE THEY NEEDED TO ARREST
	THIS PERSON. I'M IN JAIL FOR PROPERTY CRIMES
	ONLY. THEIR WAS NO REASON FOR THIS JUDGE
	TO ORDER MY SENTENCE
	TO BE AGGRAVATE. MY ATTORNEY
	TOLD ME JUDGE WAS
And the state of t	GOING TO DO THIS TO ME. HE WASN'T ACTING
	LIKE THIS BEFORE THE NEW CHARGES, FURTHER
•	

	MORE JUDGE WAS INVOLED IN
	PLEA NEGOTIATIONS. I FELT HE SHOULD HAVE
	RECUSED HIMSELF FROM THE NEW CASE, AND
	I WAS RIGHT, BECAUSE HE TOOK IT OUT ON
	ME IN THE SENTENCEING IN THE CASE.
	I WILL BE FILING ANOTHER COMPLAINT AGAINST
	ANOTHER JUDGE INVOLED IN THIS MATTER. I
	WAS CHARGE WITH ID THEFT, AND THEFT OF
	MEANS. THE CAR WAS RETURN IN GOOD CONDITION
	AND THEIR WAS NO DAMAGE TO THE VICTIM'S
	CREDIT. I EXCEPTED FULL RESPONABLITY FOR
	WHAT I DID AND IN DOING SO PUT MY OWN
	LIFE IN DANGER TO HELP SAVE LA PEOPLES
	LIVE'S. I WAS SENTENCE TO A AGGRAVATED
	SENTENCE BECAUSE OF PLEVENGE FOR GOING
_	TO TRAIL AND WINNING THE CASE, IVE NEVER
_	SEEN ANYONE GET A AGGRAVATED SENTENCE
	WHEN PROBATION IS AVAILABLE, AND THE STATE
	IS NOT USEING ANY PERIORS. AND ALSO WHEN
	YOU ARE HELPING THE STATE. I THANK YOU VERY
_	MUCH FOR YOUR TIME IN THIS MATTER I HOPE YOU
4	CAN SER THE MISCONDUCT IN MY CASE