State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 08-070	
Complainant:	No.	1331610650A
Judge:	No.	1331610650B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge did not knowingly violate the ethical canons. Accordingly, the commission voted to dismiss the case and issue a private comment to the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 14, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 14, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 3/21/08

According to the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct it appears the followings constitutes misconduct.

- Petition fraudulently filed (petitioners had knowledge of the Trust and Will) 3/23/07
- was informed that there was no Trust or Will by petitioner
- The original Trust and Will was shown to the
- resident of was given 10 days to complete an inventory and provide copies to the court and the petitioners.
- appointed as temporary co-personal representative
- did Identity Theft against the deceased and was allowed to continue being the temporary co- personal representative beyond and then made the Personal Representative
- was given 30 days to complete an inventory
 however and I still have not received a copies as court ordered.

 meet with only and extended
 the personal representative until
- Evidentiary Hearing regarding validity of the Trust and Will by Commissioner
- Commissioner granted an oral motion to withdraw as attorney after
 Requested for a Marsden Hearing and was denied.
 - It appeared that Commissioner gave legal advice regarding change of beneficiaries signatures to be on one petition.
- Commissioner rules on the disqualification
- appointed Successor Trustee
- Commissioner denied the property to be transferred as a an pourover. the deceased has a Will and Trust.
- Commissioner court order for 1 item to be returned back out of a 27 page estate inventory upon the request of
- is the Personal Representative

First it appears that Commissioner holds no integrity for the Arizona

Judicial System with in the Super Court of Arizona County and her
judicial duties. Second it appears to that

Commissioner upholds her judicial duties with bias and prejudice. Her
minute entries that constantly reflect favoritism and criminal active performed by
the petitioner parties and attorney. Thirdly it appears Commissioner
behavior of misconduct of racketeering, concealment with the ruling on her

and I went to the office of

was assigned to this disqualification and there has been no

own disqualification three times and creating civil conspiracy with in the Governor Office of Arizona the Judicial System. On filed a Disqualification to the Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Arizona A copy was also hand delivered to Commissioner judicial assistance. (see attached filed disqualification). On , Commissioner court declines to rule on (see attached minute entry).I , did not receive a written nor voice message notice regarding this hearing from the court. On stated that the judicial assistance informed her of the Telephonic Status Conference while hand delivering a copy of the disqualification to Commissioner judicial assistance. stated that she did not receive a written notice from the court in a timely manner to give proper notice to her employer. On I, made a call to the Presiding Judge office to verify if she had received the disqualification. I gave the case number to she informed me that the judge had not received a copy. state that the disqualification must have been mailed. I stated that it was hand delivered to Commissioner office. Liz replied that she would call me back on after speaking with the Presiding Judge On called. She left a message informing me that Judge had been assigned to the disqualification, but she would not be back until telephone number was also left on the message. . Commissioner minute entry states and Disqualification is denied. (see attached minute entry)

Commission on Judicial Conduct regarding the disqualification. We informed this office

response. As the defendants in this matter the only ruling has been from Commissioner

after court

On

that Judge

