

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-122

Complainant: No. 1334610699A

Judge: No. 1334610699B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is a legal question outside the jurisdiction of the commission.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot review the judge's legal decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 11, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 11, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-08-122

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 5-11-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Judge read the Preliminary
Instructions to the Jury. On page "four" of the instructions at the top it says the
State alleges that the Defendant committed the following crimes 1-5 then
the judge read each charge one by one and at the end of each charge the judge said
I have the original proceedings
Before the County Grand jury and on page 21 a Jury by the name of
ses, can i ask a question? its not a factual, is the kid not on here because he's
in juvie. Then on page 22 the State prosecutor ses. Oh im sorry, he had already
been arrested and was the subject of a seprate investigation. The judge told the
jury that was on the indictment and thats lying to the jury and
misleading them as well. How am I going to get a fair trial when the jury is
being lied to? The question is can the judge do that, can he just fabricate things
and tell the jury what he wants? His to my understanding that the judge cant
lie but in this case the evidence speaks for its self. In my point of view
its like the judge is allowing the prosecutor to take over the court room and
do what it takes to get a conviction. please look at these allegations and
dont take my word look at the trial transcripts and the Grand jury transcripts
and like i sed the evidence speaks for its self.

Thank you.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)