

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-132

Complainant: No. 132461359A

Judge: No. 1324610359B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter as well as the transcript of the hearing and determined that the judge did not engage in unethical conduct. The fact that the judge served on the State Bar Committee for the Unauthorized Practice of Law over five years ago had no bearing on the judge's impartiality. The committee issues advisory opinions and does not investigate or prosecute complaints. The complaint was dismissed with a private comment pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 12, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 12, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

MAY 28 2008

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-08-132

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 5/30/08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I appeared before to argue motions in my case. My complaint: I. Lack of Civility; the judge was impatient, rude and seemed angry at me, perhaps as I represent myself. He was stern, snapped at me and not interested in listening to me; an attitude at times. I was treated with disrespect and felt humiliated and disrespected. II. Prejudice; The Judge ruled against my motions before he had read them, heard my arguments or even read the cases cited. His attitude was one of disgust and intemperance. He blatantly favored the State, listening carefully to the prosecutor but cutting the defense off with visible anger. III. The Court refuses to enforce the Constitution and my Due Process rights, in a pattern of impatience and disrespect. IV. Conflict of Interest; the Judge serves on the Consumer Protection Division of the State Bar - a direct conflict of interest as the charges against me stem from an unauthorized practice of law allegation. His work tries to protect consumers from the activity I am falsely accused of. I just found this out by accident and he has not disclosed this conflict of interest. Thank you.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)