# State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 08-143		
Complainant:		No.	1335700133A
Judge:		No.	1335700133B

### ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are legal or appellate in nature.

The commission is not a court; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 14, 2008

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 14, 2008

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

### **Dear Judicial Conduct Commission:**

CJC-08-143

They say people in here get sentenced to forty years and beyond on hearsay alone. District Attorneys in recent cases were found to be bribing detectives to lie on the witness stand just so that they could gain convictions. Convictions, as we both know, equate to big bucks for the state.

It's all about the money, it always has been, lending testimony to that is a publication called 'The New American' (www.thenewamerican.com), dated July 23, 2007, with a full nine page layout exposing the ulterior motives of CPS (Child Protective Services), it's horrific and despicable contradiction of the publics perception of supposed justified conduct. Should your view be overly obscured too, your continued reading bringing you vast insight is deeply appreciated.

Duplicity, deviousness abuse of authority with insidious malicious intent, do they know no boundaries?! It appears they go through great lengths to violate one's constitutional rights in an effort to obtain the almighty dollar, which incidentally is exactly what they did in my case.

Due process requires that certain procedural safeguards are in place so that defendants don't fall to arbitrarily based decisions and get wrongly convicted.

## Arizona Rules of Court 2007 VII Judicial Ethics

The canons and sections are rules of reason, they should be applied consistent with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules and decisional law and in the context of all relevant circumstances. The code is to be construed so as not to impinge on the essential independence of judges in making judicial decisions.

### Rule 81 Terminology

"Law" denotes court rules as well as statutes, constitutional provisions and decisional law. See 2A, 3A, 3B(2), 3B(6), 4B, 4C, 4D(5), 4F, 4I, 5A(4) and 5B(2).

Rule 81, Canon 3.B (2), (5), (7).

Rule 81, Canon 3.E (A), (F).

A review of the transcripts will reveal both by words and conduct that there was a manifestation of prejudicial and heavily biased presuppositions of my character. Case in point - By Judge openly admitting the search warrant was invalid, but denying the motion to dismiss my case, I was ultimately then convicted on the evidence resulting from an illegal search and seizure. Clearly a direct violation of the 4<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendments of my constitutional rights as outlined by both my attorney and Wong Sun v. United States (see attachment).

On that premise I ask that Judge as well as prosecution suffer severe repercussions (sanctioned) for their noncompliance in adhering to the law, moreover, I ask that my conviction be overturned and I be released immediately as this imposed injustice is without warrant..

Sincerely,

P.S. If you're anywhere near as powerful as your name suggests, I hope to receive record of sanctions imposed in a timely manner. Though in contrast, the degree of authoritativeness and overall influence you have is unknown to me.