

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-187

Complainant: No. 1339210696A

Judge: No. 1339210696B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 4, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC -08 - 187

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:
Judge's name:
Date:

On _____ filed an Affidavit to Quash a Citation and Dismiss for
case _____ . See affidavit included.

On _____ I attended an arraignment hearing with _____ and

There was no preliminary trial.

Judge _____ was presiding.

_____ was asked how he pleaded he began to explain his Affidavit and challenged the
courts jurisdiction.

Judge _____ said we don't do that here! You will have to make a plea.

_____ began to explain that the court had to hear the issue that the court did not have
jurisdiction.

Judge _____ said you will have to talk to the City Attorney. We do not do that here.
How do you plea?

Then Judge _____ said I will take your Motion (which is really an Affidavit) as a not
guilty plea and put in the records for _____ a not guilty plea.

Judge _____ denied _____ his due process by interring a plea for him and
ignoring the law that states when the courts jurisdiction is challenged it is to be proven by
the prosecution. Facts not opinions apply. This is also considered Fraud.

Why would a judge call an affidavit a motion and refuse an affidavit as evidence if that
judge did not have the intent to falsify the records by denying the truth? By this judge
denying the truth Due Process has been denied

Blacks Law dictionary fifth edition page 28 states:

An Affidavit is a written or printed declaration or statement of facts, made voluntarily,
and confirmed by the oath or affirmation of the party making it, taken before a person
having authority to administer such oath or affirmation.

_____ stated his affirmation by 28 USC s 1746.

Therefore this Affidavit was not a motion. This affidavit is by law evidence.

CJC -08 - 187

Judge conduct is in my humble opinion in violation of Oath of office to uphold the Constitution of the United States. AZ – 38-231 perjury of oath. A class 4 felony, Judicial Misconduct._Unlawful Suppression of Evidence. Due process; Prosecutorial Misconduct. Unlawful acts.

Judge signed an order denying the defendants motion. Once again it was not a motion but an Affidavit. An Affidavit is evidence and evidence can not be denied. This affidavit was never legally answered in a timely matter. By Judge signing this order was denied his due process. Judge is also guilty of perjury of oath. AZ 38 - 231 A class 4 felony, Judicial Misconduct._Unlawful Suppression of Evidence. Due process; Prosecutorial Misconduct. And Unlawful acts.

See Order included.

CC
FBI
Civil Rights and Due Process Division