State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 08-234		
Complainant:	N	No.	1344510593A
Judge:	١	No.	1344510593B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 21, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 21, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-08-234

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 09-11-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

my case	Was scheduled for sentencing in
front of	Onthatday, I had a newlawyer present
, who was to replace my court	appointed councel, As I had
decided to take my cose to frial,	was present to provide the court
notice of my desire for a change	or plea. At this time, Commissioner
	question a change of plea and continuance
so that he could collect the necessar	is information needed to defend me. Due,
to this act. I was left wirn no choice	out to accept a pea I did not want to accept
I did at that time, and novistinhave si	unstantal proots to support my innocence
in this alleged crime, and as such, I	have filed petition for Post Conviction Relief
as it ustill mu desire to take this case	etotrai, inavehired asmy
lawyer in order to seek the requestes	reliet. Since was hired Commissioner
has repeatedly ignored seve	ral motions that she has presented to the court
andalso has denied pralarquement	requests for several of said motions.
has worked outediligently in my beha	It despite the setbacks caused by Commissioner
wrongfulacts. She has in	led all the required paperwork for my petition
in a timely manner and has beaught t	his case to the point where the rules state that
I am to be allowed an evidentiary he	laring where I can be present and subporing
withesses mmy behalf.	in clear violation of Rule 32.6, the
court held a hearing for Oral Argu	rement without identifying the issues remaining
that were procedurally precluded. I	I no such issues remained, the courtwes to
dismiss the petition. The courtdid	not dismiss the petition, and presumably in
doing so the court had decided that	there were claims that presented a material
of fact or law as per Rule 32.6	. The court has failed to inform myself

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

2/29/2008

and my cource as to which claims if any were precluded, and which claims if any, didpresent material issue of factor law. Additionally, the court did not grant me the evidentiary hearing which I am entitled to under Rule 32.8. Instead the court decided to hold a hearing for ora arguement which the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure do not even provide for. As this oral argument does not allow the same rights and due process that an evidentiary hearing does, I was subsequently denied my right to subpoer a several witnesses who will support one or more of my claims, bly lawyer has filed motor for rehearing and I am fully prepared to take my case to the Court of Appeals. I do believe that Commissioner hehavior is completely innappropriate and shows blatant negligence. He has very clearly violated several rules of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure. I do feel that he should be recused from further involument in my case, that he should be reprimanded, and that he should face potential removal from his appointed position as a court commissioner. Further more, it is my full intention to file civi claims against Commissioner seeking financial relief due to his botant acts of neoligence.

and the second of the second o