State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-020	
Complainant:		No. 1325700622A
Judge:		No.1325700622B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. If any errors were made in the case, they involve legal issues that can only be reviewed by an appellate court. The commission has no authority to review a judge's decisions.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 9, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 9, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC 09-020

JAN 2 9 2009

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _	Judge's name:	Date: 1/2/69
provide all of the in plain paper of the sa	ribe in your own words what the judge did that you believe comportant names, dates, times and places related to your complants size to explain your complaint, and you may attach addition ou may attach copies of any documents you believe will help u	aint. You can use this form or nal pages. Do not write on the
Jadge	violated cannon 3 of the	judicial code
of conduct	t. Cannon 3-Section 7 Athru E	and section
D,#2. Or	October 10, 2008 in regards to	This case
there wa	s a telephonic hearing in regar	ds whetheror
	ona had home state furisdiction	, Under the
UCCLEA	. This is based on a ruling he	made along
with Caln	Fornia Judge, on	Hugust 29, 2008
where he	was present via statex 48" hear	oral argument
for Calife	mia's jurisdiction. Upon hear	ring the
araumen	t with Judge petition	vert.
<i>_</i>	nd no standing in Arizona in r	regards to this
Case. The	October 10, 2008 was to release	isé home
state iu	risdiction to California. Instea	ed, Petitioner
Filed for	- contempt of court with	not complying
with ille	gally attained previous stipe	ulation. Judge
Sa	ld he did not have a copy	of the
Californ	11a order that he was ones	ent for Andwas
sent a	copy from Anzona a Horney.	
	and California attorney.	
r/a cer	tified mail. The Judge Said	until he reviews
the orde	er, he would determine whether	her or not
Arizona	has home state jurisdiction,	Which he
already	relinguished justisdiction to	a phone to
Californ	relinguished jurisdiction File	ct all parties
involve d	and their lawyers in a week	k per court
+MNSCV	into HE DID NOTI Instead	be had a

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

Closed door telephonic meeting with the petitioner and her lawyer on October 23, 2008. (see enclosed). On that day he arranged with the Petitioner, and her lawyer,

for a hearing date

on November 25, 2008 at 9:00a.m. Leaving the (see enclosed). For that hearing he respondent, only allowed two hours for the myself no present my petitioner to present her witnesses and her evidence against me. I only WITNESSES and evidence, recieved notification of one witnesswould Shortly before the hearing. The other seem odd five witnesses and evidence wasn't expected me present until the day of the hearing. to rebuttal any of the Remember, I am up on contempt Petitumers \ nitnesses. charges and have a right for time to present a adequate defense. At the hearing my attorney who at the last minute represented me, presented the UCCUEA guidelines which the Judge violated (note: the federal commission panel has been sent notification in regards to this). The judge dismissed it and went along with the hearing and not give me

Inme to confer with my attorney on what witnesses the petitioner could present. This was done via telephonically where I was in California and they were Arizona. Their phone system kept disconneding me and I could not tell my attorney to object until after the fact. At no time did I give the judge summission to talk to

evidence or witnesses on my behalf
During the hearing, Detective
Confessed that per the petitioner
order, he had ordered
Police department to take my son into
Custody. He did not obtain an order from
Ludge until the following monday.
The petitioner called CPS and said
She had custody of the minor child
Prior to imoving to California.

Mr. lied to Judge and later on

SHE DID NOT HAVE CUSTEDY OF TRISTYN ORIOR TO,

TO CALIFORNIA to

to Judge Saying October 24, 2006
illegally obtained order was still in effect,
and it was not. Based on this order, Judge
in February of 08' ordered temporary

order order

custody to Which was the order Detective did not see until after the child was taken into custody. In fact, the star order temporary that was in effect, had no onention of arrangements of visitations prior to moving to the state of California. In fact, the judge scheduled a hearing on January 17, 2008 for a trial hearing in regards to above mentioned on October 24, 2006 which was the pase of this suit. Three judges have recused themselves based on this Knowledge of Committing purgary and breaking the law by ordering a police officer to take custody of the minor child without authority. And lying to C.P.S. California currently has a hearing date in April in regards to this matter that I have filed. To guote the California Judge who is presiding over this case, "I don't know how this case has gotten this far with no merit or fact other than the petitioner is the Chief Public Defender of

I have followed Judge Instructions to the letter. He said I could not use my attorney, for the next hearing. But did say I could use my California attorney to represent me in this case. Knowing that it takes two to three months for her to be certified in the State of Arizona. He has not given me time for this to occur. I want a just and not biased hearing. I'm not able to attain that in the state of Arizona, I sincerely hope you will conduct a none biased investigation on all, judges involved, primarily Judge

Sincerely.

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct				
1501, W. Washington Street, Suite 229				
Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE				
Your nameJudge's name!	Date: 2 /	5/69		
Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that y provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may a back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe	your complaint. You can use this tach additional pages. Do not write	form or c on the		
ON Jan 30th 09, My wife	had a teleph	anic		
hat had a children	she was denier			
her hight for an attorney &	uneas called his	<u> </u>		
withress to testified against n	ne of	>		
I am not a was vespondent	in this case. +	he		
first motion on File in Aug 06	(See en closed)	15+		
only my wife	& M.S.S. Thi	5		
Case Statted way be fore I v	net my wife Th	<u>.</u>		
torged Stipulation (Sec enclosed) w	ch Yaker vesulted	_1 <i>n</i> _		
Mc Illegaly obtained order in See an	dured) was betw	em_		
Ms. and my wife. fon F.	e) In Feb 13 o	15 and		
again tea don. The letitione	rs Attorney thed	+0 T 1		
- (Asvajo com fy) Who was	Sitting on the c	_100 ge		
that the Content charge charge	The May 08 St against 18 bette	- 11-Cution		
		earing		
again the petitioners attorney M				
have me pamed as a vespondent	/ .	<u>*</u>		
4 My wies attorney 7 obj	ected and Judge	<u> </u>		
Substan not allowing me in be in	chiled. Lon File	tranger pe		
ON that day Tudge	overled that My	Wife '		
Could no longer use her at	torney	-1		
on this case, which Via/ates				
Section (A) Which say's that Tu	lge hust d	13 qualifie		
homself for his Prejedice against (Attach additional sheets as nee	ms (a	. Rle)		
Arrent auaitional success as need	, / (x	set clerk		
	5	Com. 9		

The attorney suid see appear for the 28th hearing only however at the end of that hearing being continue at the Petitioners begust to show their evidance, Lather Tudge denyed the

Per the transcrip on File that she could continue the . Juyde denied that as well.

a speaker Phone, recorded the hearing we fore Withness in above mention. The Reput Mid. way through the hearing the Retitional was could be heard expressing concern to her afterney who then approach the beach and whispered so mething to Ivolge at that time the Ivolge ask if Other's we're listing in on this case, my wife vest fonded yes again there was whispering between the Judge and Taky and the case continued.

then scripts for only Expect withouts testimony (three child theorpist, how enforcement and documents? For the CA. Jurisdiction hearing in april.

The fact is the Judge for some conexpland verson at decay allowed the Petitinal attached to go after me with his within-55, on page 10 of Camen 3 (77 I have a right to be heard and an attached to repercut me. I was never by two Judges, it was stated that I was not to be in voted in this case.

Judge would not allow establishment
on File with the Court Clevic to be Presented.
I which showed abuse on Part of M.S.
against the ves products Son. MS. on
Vecaped admitted to abuse with her doughter,
but ver fused supervised their visits with the
bres pondants son. Even if it were her family
member's who supervised them I and
I CA. took Julys diction base
on expert testimony on the abuse. The

This is a major Red Flag. IF this I Judge is willing to put a child (without healing all the evidence) back in the abusing and atms. What other cases has he ghated Favor or was in Competent's base on the patitioner's Status in the courts. What would he do nov other's in some same position? I ask that the Judge be removed from the bench until this is resolved.

۷. ۷.

Thank you than

Bul Judge

Sacramento Ber 7 Covering Stroy

(Dayly Sun)

(ASSOCIATED Press)

GP.S. OF CA.