

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-024

---

Complainant: No. 1355110258A

Judge: No. 1355110258B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is legal or appellate in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 26, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

   \ \ Keith Stott     
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 26, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-024

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name

Judge's Name:

Date: 2-01-2009

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT DUE PROCESS GUARANTEES THE RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUDGE. THIS ISSUE ARISES DUE TO THE FACT THAT THIS JUDGE HAS A PRACTICE OF DENYING MOTIONS TO CHANGE COUNSEL. I DO NOT INTEND TO CAUSE HARM BUT AWARENESS THAT SUCH CONDUCT IMPINGES ON MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE COUNSEL. THIS JUDGE SOLELY BECAUSE SHE THINKS CURRENT COUNSEL IS COMPETENT AND DENYS MY MOTION TO CHANGE HIM, FORCES ME TO GO TO TRIAL W/AN MURDERER IN WHOM I DO NOT TRUST, THE CONSTITUTION OF US AND AZ, REQUIRES JUDGES TO BE NEUTRAL REFEREES OF THE CASES IN FRONT OF THEM NOT TO FORM PART OF THE CASE OR TO GIVE PERSONAL OPINIONS CONTRARY TO WELL ESTABLISHED LAW. MY ATTORNEY IS ONE WHOM ALREADY BOTH STATE BAR COMPLAINS AGAINST HIM, IS DISRESPECTFUL, FAILS TO ADHERE TO ETHICAL CONDUCT, FAVORS ALL PRACTICES AND ACTIONS OF THE PROSECUTOR AND NOW THIS JUDGE PARTAKES ON THIS MISRECKONOUS MUCKERY OF DUE PROCESS. IT IS NOT WELL OBSERVED THE FACT THAT MY ATTORNEY AND I POINTBLANK DO NOT GET ALONG WHATSOEVER, LARGUED MY POINTS IN FRONT OF THE JUDGE AND ON MY MOTION AND CITED PROPER AUTHORITIES BUT THIS JUDGE RATHER SEND ME TO PRISON AND RISK A MISARRANGE OF JUSTICE THAN TO FIX AN ONGOING DETRIMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION. ALL I WANT IS FAIRNESS IN MY PROCEEDINGS. ONE PROSECUTOR IS ENOUGH BUT NOW I GOT THREE GOING AGAINST ME. IT IS IMPERATIVE FOR A JUDGE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION AND PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS NOT RAILROAD THEM, THEREFORE I ASK FOR A FAIR PROCESS UNDER FAIR JUDGE NOTHING MORE.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)