

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-102

---

Complainant: No. 1210510599A

Judge: No. 1210510599B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 29, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on May 29, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

APR 20 2009

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY  
COMMISSIONER OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
1501 W. Washington St. #229 Phoenix AZ. 602-542-3200

Case No:

V

Complaint- Against  
Judge

This Complaint is being made by Plaintiff . Plaintiff is filing a complaint against Judge reason being. First and foremost this case has been in the Civil Court now for just about two years and has never been to Arbitration or Trial as requested on many occasions by Plaintiff .Plaintiff has made many different Motions to the Court only to have them sit for months at a time and not be ruled on in a timely fashion, Plaintiff has had two asked for a Hearing to get to the bottom of what is going on and why the Motions were not being ruled on or replied to. And still no prompt answer from the Court. Finally in late 2008 the case was assign to an Arbitrator in which Defendant filed a strike against. Only again to be assigned to a new Arbitrator. Attorney . Plaintiff tried to reach by telephone on several occasions for just about two months with no responses, Plaintiff contacted the Arbitration Dept to find out what were the proper steps to take when a Arbitrator does not return calls or make contact by US Mail as to setting up an date and time to have all parties present for an Arbitration Hearing. Plaintiff was instructed to Contact the Judges Secretary in which Plaintiff did. Plaintiff then was instructed to file a Motion with the Court to strike Attorney and state the reason why.

Copies were filed with the Court and sent to Defendant along with Attorney . Months went by again and still no response. Again the Court sat on the Many Motions that was presented for just about 4 months with no ruling. Finally Plaintiff received a ruling from the Court and stating that they did not know that a Motion had been filed to strike against Mr. , and still no ruling until a few more months past. Please note that all Motions were filed with the Court and always a copy was sent to Judge by Court Pick up Service from one Court to another.

It is now April 10, 2009 and Plaintiff finally received a letter from the Arbitrator stating his ruling on the Many Motions that was presented for the Judge's ruling. Second the Judge finally sent a Minute Entry stating his ruling after receiving the Arbitrators ruling. Which in stated that Plaintiffs Motion to strike Arbitrator was denial, no reason was stated. It would appear that this is a form of retaliation from the judge , all of the months pasted and no ruling and all of a sudden the only ruling the Judge rule on was a denial for a strike, That says a lot about the Judge's ethic in decision making. Plaintiff states that the Arbitrator has no right to rule on Motions that's before the Judge, when a Motion to strike against Arbitrator was in place. Arbitrator states that Plaintiff has no standing in

regardless to Motions that was filed on behalf of Mr. . Plaintiff' s position in the matter is, Plaintiff was married at time of income taxes and when Defendant asked for both parties permission on income taxes.

Mr. reply was no. to giving permission. So Plaintiff has every right to agree with Mr. and to stand with him in any objections on his behalf. The strike against was because Mr. was removed off of the lawsuit however Mr. name still appears in the lawsuit. Plaintiff feels that Judge was upset and decided to retaliate against Plaintiff by not answering her Motions or ruling on any Motions for atleast 3 months until April 10. 2009 and which time, Plaintiff Motion was had over to Arbrationer and the Arbrationer ruled on all Motions and finally the last thing was the Judge finally decided to rule that Plaintiff could not strike against Arbitrator Mr.

Please review my case at this point Plaintiff does not feel Plaintiff would receive a fair and Justice Hearing from Judge or the Arbrationer Mr. at this point. Plaintiff will be filing a Motion with the Courts to have remove himself from this case along with Complaint against Mr. Arbitrator to the Bar Association on his un ethical conduct.

Superior Court  
Judge

Arbitration Clerk-Civil Court Administration  
201 W. Jefferson  
Phoenix Az. 85013

Civil Court Administration  
201 W. Jefferson  
Phoenix Az. 85013

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke at the bottom.