

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-103

Complainant: No. 1361010459A

Judge: No. 1361010459B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 29, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 29, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-103

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: 4-20-09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

IN October 2005, petitioner was
scheduled to appear in court on a child custody/support
case. With no notification the judge was changed to
who appeared to have a cordial, friendly
relationship with the lawyer for respondent
Please look into the reason for the change of date and venue
as the prior judge had been fair and unbiased.
At the time I was deprived of my constitutional right
to a fair hearing and the proceeding should be investigated.
Judge commented on the decrease in income for me
then proceeded to cross out my financial figures and said I
had made more in the past and was capable of earning
more again. She completely ignored and refused to consider
the fact I stated I was ill, had been ill, and am still ill.
I testified I was unable to work as I had in previous
years due to rapidly declining health. An unbiased and fair
hearing would have allowed me to produce medical records
to confirm this. I was denied that opportunity and
required to pay additional and retroactive support even
though I was ill, not to mention the fact I have
the children 50% of the time.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)