

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-130

Complainant: No. 1362810063A

Judge: No. 1362810063B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge acted improperly by rejecting his evidence, stating that his grievance was not a federal case, and requiring him to apologize to the respondent. The commission reviewed the recording of the proceeding and decided to dismiss the complaint with a private comment warning the judge of her of obligation to comply with Rule 2.3 and 2.8(B) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, which requires a judge to perform duties without bias and to be patient and dignified. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 1, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ J. William Brammer

Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 1, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

To whom it may concern;

I'm writing this letter in regards of a court case I just experienced that was to say the least absolutely absurd. It involved judge

The civil court case took place on 2/25/09. I had been waiting since October of 2008 for this suit to take place. After countless hours of preparation, hundreds of dollars spent on legal fees, months of aggravation and harassment from the individual whom I was suing over an illegal lighting issue, the judge decided that she did not want to hear any of the testimony that I had as it was in her opinion, not relevant to the case. Personally I disagree with that decision, as I was instructed by the County Sheriffs Department to document everything that was pertinent to the case.

I picked up all the sheriffs reports, printed out 22 pictures of the property in question, printed out the County Lighting Code/Ordinance, Injunction of Harassment, receipts for fuel, process service fees court filing, mileage, time & maps, and sent copies of all the above to the second party via certified mail. Only to have the judge tell less than 2 minutes into the case...none of that was relevant.

Now I'm sorry to say this but as instructed by the Sheriffs Department, knowing full well that the County Lighting Code/Ordinance was written as a LAW guideline. I feel that everything I took to court was indeed pertinent to the case. My experience in judge courtroom was nothing more than a joke to say the least, from the moment she walked through door it was kinda like a circus. Let me explain; first off she was irritated that there were people in her courtroom, saying, "What are all these people doing in my courtroom!" She was saying this to the audience but more directed at her clerk. Then she started sorting out who was who.

As my case was the first on the afternoon docket she had myself & the defendant come to the tables and have a seat. then she proceeded to figure out the other people in the courtroom were, ended up ordering them out into the hallway to discuss their matter outside after they had told her they were not on speaking terms. Then she had myself and the defendant wait while she took care of 2 other cases that as she said would only take a few minutes. 20 some minutes later she got to my case. I handed her my packet of information, only for her to say Mr. this is not a Federal Case and the packet you just handed me is almost an inch thick, this is just a simple nuisance case there shouldn't have to be that much information. When I attempted to respond, she cut me off in an argumentative & very rude manor and told me she didn't care about the Sheriffs reports nor the County Lighting Code, which happens to be the LAW within the county or at least one would think. I believe if the judge had heard my testimony (partially) or in its entirety, she would have realized that it all tied together to the issue at hand and there may have been a different resolution to the case but in the end she just wanted myself & the defendant to try to get along. Her solution to the problem was one of almost disbelief she in her own words thought that maybe we could just take some silver duct tape and put it on the illegal light fixture. Then she started to tell us how we did not live in the foothills area and that shape of my sheds would not be allowed there. I'm sorry but those remarks especially from a judge were rude and uncalled for. Even if it is her courtroom! It seems that for to be sitting on the bench is an injustice for anyone entering the JUSTICE system.

I look forward to your response.)
Sincerely,