

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-135

Complainant: No. 1363010553A

Judge: No. 1363010553B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Because the commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 21, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on July 21, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-135

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On January 17, 2007, I filed a Petition for the removal of a Personal Representative in the Superior Court of Arizona County, in the Matter of the Estate of deceased. On April 24, 2007 the matter was set for evidentiary hearing in the courtroom of commissioner in the located at Phoenix, Arizona Courtroom at. On the day of the evidentiary hearing Commissioner based on an ex parte correspondence in the afore mentioned case, that was not filed with the court or sent to any person involved in the case and sent to commissioner by the respondent in the matter who did not file an answer to the Petition for the removal of a Personal Representative filed against him, ordered the transfer of property not involved in the case, from the name of back into the name of Sr. At that time no deed had ever been filed at the County recorders office in the name of transferring that property to him and whom the court ordered the property transferred to had never owned that property or had a deed transferring that property to him ever been filed in his name at the County

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

recorders office. And when ordering
 the transfer of that property and in
 order to deliberately conceal the illegal
 nature of that transfer of property
 commissioner fraudulently used
 the words back into the name of tontoy,
 to conceal the illegal and unlawful
 transfer of that property and violation
 of the statute of frauds by transferring
 property to a person who had never
 owned the property and also was a
 person who had been dead for five
 years at that time. On May 23, 2007
 I filed a Petitioner's request
 for continuance and a clarification of
 court order to execute quit claim deed.
 In that request I informed
 commissioner of the illegal nature
 of the court's order to retitle property.
 On May 31, 2007 commissioner ordered
 denying the request for continuance and
 a clarification of court order to execute
 Quit claim deed and further ordered
 that the property in question be transferred
 immediately. Commissioner also
 had previously stated in court on April
 24, 2007, to the respondent Gr.
 quote "looks like we're gonna hafta sell both
 of those houses" unquote, before any
 testimony or evidence had been submitted.
 I hereby allege that commissioner
 ordered the wrongful and
 illegal transfer of property to bring

that property into the courts control in order to sell it to pay the courts debts and balance County's books on the backs of the homeowners. At no time during the court proceedings did commissioner or the court ever intend to allow the transfer of any property or asset there of to the rightful heirs of the estates involved. Commissioner

also based on the same ex parte correspondence appointed a guardian ad litem for and then ordered that that matter be given a separate case number,

Commissioner further ordered the matter of the estate of Sr.

be scheduled for mediation on June 21, 2007. At the mediation hearing the court appointed guardian ad litem for

excluded, myself, who was the Petitioner in the case from that mediation hearing. The guardian ad litem then drafted

a mediation agreement entirely to benefit herself as a way to defraud the heirs of the estate of

Sr by bringing the house which was the major asset of the estate into the courts control by using ear marks placed by

into the mediation agreement. The ear marks ostensibly transferred the estate of to

a divorced woman who was
 not married to ^{Sr or}
 an heir to that estate or even mentioned
 in the Last will and testament of

^{Sr. I} ^{Hereby}
 allege that the matters I have
 previously described constitute a
 Felony Fraudulent scheme and other
 acts of Fraud perpetrated in the
 Superior Court of ^{County by}
 Commissioner ^{and court}
 appointed guardian ad litem

to knowingly and willfully
 use the Judicial System to commit
 Fraud in a Probate matter to create an
 illicit revenue stream to pay the Courts
 debts and the debts of
 County by the fraudulent transfer
 of estates and assets in Probate
 Matters illegally using fixed hearings
 and making and using false writing
 and documents knowing the same to
 contain false fictitious and fraudulent
 statements and entries in order to
 defraud unrepresented litigants in
 a Probate Matter.