

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-183

Complainant: No. 1367510439A

Judge: No. 1367510439B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the justice of the peace. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 9, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 9, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

15 July 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

I was served the original Order of Protection twice on the same day by 2 different individuals, on February 6, 2009 at 1PM and again at 2:20PM (approx. times) I do believe that the papers speak for themselves as to the actions of the judge and court however will provide a brief overview of the situation and circumstances.

In mid October of 2008 my mother, (whose papers are also enclosed reference this complaint) discovered that my sister had forged her signature on the title/ Deed of her house located in Tucson at . On the day in question when the document was forged July 8, 2002 the 3 parties present where my mother, my sister and her husband , the Plaintiff on the order of protection, who is a police officer with the Tucson Police Department. . 4 transactions were conducted that day, 3 on and 's property, as well as my mothers. She never authorized it nor had any knowledge of it until mid October 2008. A police report was made with Tucson police Department in Oct 2009. My mother paid for an independent handwriting analysis by , head of the TPD crime lab, and his report states it is a forgery. Despite the evidence TPD refuses to file criminal charges and says it is a "civil matter" which my mother is currently pursuing.

My order reads "defendant assisted by addressing envelope of unlawful letter sent to plaintiff..." Attached is a copy of said "unlawful letter"(no one including my self who spent 8 years as a police officer in Calif, AZ police officers, county attorneys office, as well as investigators both private and with the country and state attorney generals office's have ever heard of an "unlawful letter." They referred to it as a demand letter for money owed as a result of a loan). The letter in question is actually a request for to resume payments on the money he was lent by my mother the "defendant", . My sister had sent a letter to my mother prior to this "unlawful letter" that they were taking the remaining balance approx \$10,000 as part of her/their inheritance. After the letter they resumed payments in January 2009 and have been making them monthly since then through June 09.

is headquarters which is a public building and neither my mother or I should be denied access as citizens provided we are their to conduct business with a lawful purpose.

's residence. I have not been there or near there since April 2008 when I spent 4 days with them, when I was living in Texas prior to returning to Tucson. My mother hasn't been there in almost 2 years. My mother had and removed from her property on 8 or 9 June2008 by TPD. Neither one of us, my mother or I have any interest in having contact with either one by any means except during the litigation process of the civil matter to have removed form the Title/Deed which was forged.