## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-188	
Complainant:	No.	1368010804A
Judge:	No.	1368010804B

## ORDER

The commission considered the complaint filed in this matter and after reviewing the recording of the hearing, found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 21, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 21, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

18 July ,2009

We believe that this Judge on March 4 2009, Court showed misconduct for the in the way he treated us in the court room. The scenario violated the Constitution of the United States that would allow the defendant to address the court, and to receive a fair trial. In this case, we feel that it had all been predetermined before we got there, and the usual practice was implemented that you will plead guilty and pay the fine. You are assumed guilty and this occurs before the trial begins. This is all on the tape recorder that they had in the court room, if what they told us is true. The same was done for the couple before us as well as us. However our cases were different.

First of all, when the permits were paid for and the blue prints reviewed by Developmental Services we were told we would never hear from them again. In less than the next two weeks we received four certified letters and one or two non certified letters written on a Arizona traffic ticket and complaint form telling us we had to appear in court. When we got there, it was decided between the judge and Mr.

CSD that the charges would be

dropped against Mrs.

although she wanted to fight the complaint and they told her it would cost her more and absolutely demanded that she go along with it. Not in agreement she asked if she could ask a question and they told her she had lost that right.

Then they moved on to Mr.

and while he had not waived his rights, when he asked if he could please address the court with a question, he was told the same applied to him as was applied to his wife. At that time no fine had been imposed and no agreement reached.

(Worth mentioning when the final inspection was done the inspector said that he could not understand why the complaints had been filed to start with. He was in awe at the workmanship and the professional blueprints.)

The way we were treated in this court made us feel we were in a third world nation. We would like to see this policy ended and the letter of the law be upheld.

Worth mentioning is that years ago a permit had been obtained for the car port and the inspector had never shown up to inspect and quite frankly we forgot about it. However on the additional permits it is the law and we have concluded we owed it and will not

run into problems when we have to sell this property. That is not our complaint. We want to make that clear.

Again we will reiterate that there are tapes of this event and we do not think that justice was served or the American way honored. It needs to stop, as it is a kangaroo court.