State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-212		
Complainant:		No.	1369910394A
Judge:		No.	1369910394B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge and decided to dismiss the complaint with a comment advising the judge to review the standards issued by the Arizona Supreme Court for determining whether an injunction should be issued. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 8, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ William Brammer

J. William Brammer, Jr. Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 8, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Complaint Against Judge

I believe Judge did not give me a fair hearing on July 31 in Douglas Justice Court for case number J-202-CV-2009 I believe he took advantage of me not being a lawyer and committed misconduct by doing the following:

- 1.) The judge seemed like he was in a big hurry and had already made up his mind. He made me extremely uncomfortable by the way he acted (like he was in a hurry) and caused me to talk really fast. He also did not allow certain evidence that I had. His words were, "I understand what you are saying." He could not have known what evidence I was talking about. He just wanted to hurry the hearing along.
- 2.) He acted angry toward me and would not allow me to talk at times. He did not treat the plaintiff the same way. He made rude comments toward me, in an angry fashion. He treated the plaintiff differently even though she made unwarranted comments about me and defamed my character.
- 3.) The judge stated, "it does not matter who is right or wrong, I am going to make my judgment based on if there is tension in the community." How does he know if there is tension in the community? He was a visiting judge and did not live in the community. There was one person there against me. There was not anyone else from the community at the hearing.
- 4.) He would not let me cross-examine the plaintiff (). I wanted to ask her a question and he would not allow me to question her.