

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-229

Complainant: No. 1368610324A

Judge: No. 1368610324B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue involves a legal matter that could have been raised in an appeal. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 24, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 24, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC 09-229

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 8.25.09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I WAS CHARGED WITH A CRIME IN DECEMBER OF 2008. I BAILED OUT ON A \$3500⁰⁰ BOND THAT SAME MONTH. I HAD ENTERED INTO A PLEA BARGAIN, PER MRS. RECOMMENDATION AS THE PLEA HAD A DEADLINE (MARCH 21ST 2009) ON IT; BUT THAT I COULD PULL OUT AT ANY TIME. ON THE NEXT COURT DATE, APRIL 21ST 2009, I WAS TO STAND BEFORE JUDGE FOR SENTENCING. I WAS ARRESTED IN GLENDALE, AZ AT 0137 FOR A MISDEMEANOR WARRANT THAT HAD BEEN ISSUED IN OCTOBER OF 2008. COUNTY BROUGHT ME BACK, HERE I FOUND OUT FROM JUDGE THAT MY BOND HAD BEEN ~~UNLAWFULLY~~ FORFEIT. DURING THE FIVE WEEK CONTINUANCE I COULD NOT GET A HOLD OF MRS. SO I WROTE JUDGE ABOUT THE FORFEIT. AT MY APPEARANCE, JUNE 9TH 2009, I ASKED FOR DISMISSAL OF COUNSEL AND TO BE RELEASED ON ORIGINAL BOND AS THERE WAS NO "WILLFUL VIOLATION"; SHE DECLINED RELEASE, STATING THE FORFEIT WAS A "TYPO" BUT THAT IT WAS "REVOKED" AND THAT SINCE NO ONE FROM PROSECUTION WAS PRESENT SHE WOULD DISCUSS IT AFTER A TWO WEEK CONTINUANCE. I APPEARED BEFORE HER FOUR TIMES SINCE AND SHE REFUSED TO HOLD A "BOND REVIEW" (THE REASON FOR THE CONTINUANCES WAS THAT SIMPLY NEVER SHOWS UP). ON THE FOURTH APPEARANCE SHE ALLOWED MRS. TO REPRESENT ME (WAS APPARENTLY TOO SICK) AND UPON REQUEST BROUGHT UP THE BOND ISSUE TO JUDGE WHO IMMEDIATELY EXONERATED IT - SHOWING, I THINK, NO WILLFUL VIOLATION. DURING THIS PERIOD AND CURRENTLY I DO HAVE A \$10,000⁰⁰ ~~BOND~~ ^{8TH} (TRIPLED AND DEFINATELY IN VIOLATION OF AMMENDMENT CONCERNING BAIL). SHE HAS ALSO STATED AT THREE OF THE FOUR APPEARANCES THAT I WAS NOT TO RECEIVE REPRESENTATION OUTSIDE OF OFFICE (EVEN GRANTING WISDOM A 2 WEEK CONTINUANCE OVER A PHONE). AND NOW TO DATE AUGUST 26TH 2009 (I'VE DECIDED TO TAKE PLEA AS MY OWN ATTORNEY THREATENED ME WITH 20 YEARS) SHE IS ALLOWING THE PROSECUTION TO HOLD AN AGGRAVATION HEARING, EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE ABSENT AT OTHERS,

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

