

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-242

---

Complainant: No. 1372710599A

Judge: No. 1372710599B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge did not intentionally violate the ethical rule that requires judges to rule promptly. The delay was an oversight that occurred after the judge rotated from the civil bench to juvenile court. Nonetheless, the judge was reminded of his obligation to rule promptly on all matters. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 2, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

---

J. William Brammer, Jr.  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 2, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-242

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 9/11/09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I am concerned that according to ARS 12-128.01 Receipt of salary by judges and commissioners; affidavit Judge would not have been entitled to salary for his work.

The case was filed with the court on March 26, 2009.

The ruling was handed down on July 3, 2009.

The case was pending for a period of approximately 99 days.

Thank you,