

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-288

Complainant: No. 1108410461A

Judge: No. 1108410461B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that the judge made an error that cost her the opportunity to appeal and sent a libelous letter about her to the city prosecutor. The complainant raised the same allegations in a prior complaint that was dismissed six years ago. The commission reviewed the new complaint and again found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 15, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 15, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

OCT 21 2009

October 18, 2009

Arizona State Bar complaints
4201 N. 24th Street, #200
Phoenix, AZ 85016-6299

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

This is a complaint against "judge" _____ an alleged attorney, who works
at _____ Court,
have contacted _____ Court several times about this matter to which no response has
been provided to me.

Several years ago, _____ allowed a former osteopathic doctor, _____ who
performed defective reconstructive surgery on me and who did so at his "surgical"
facility without any license from Arizona Department of Health, to misuse the law on
injunctions and issue an injunction against me because I had filed a legal claim asking
for my money back and for my cost of having to have additional surgery to correct the
mess he had made. _____ appeared confused and careless at the hearing.
Several years later _____ osteopathic license was permanently suspended for his
failure to obtain a proper license from Arizona DOH, incompetence, and constant
misuse of the law on injunctions. _____ may have been bribed by
because I do not understand why she would allow the law to be misapplied as she did.

In the erroneous injunction, _____ then entered _____ attorney's name as my
attorney in my appeal to Superior Court of that matter and therefore, I, a non-attorney
litigant in that matter, never received a timely status of my appeal from Superior Court
and it was sent, instead, to _____ attorney. I therefore lost my appeal because I
was unaware that Superior Court had received my appeal. The notices intended for me
were sent to _____ attorney due to _____ intentional "error" in the file.

I recently learned that _____ submitted a letter about me to _____ an
attorney at City of Phoenix, in which _____ claims that I had a misdemeanor charge
against me. In fact, I have never been charged with nor have I ever been convicted of a
misdemeanor or felony or any other illegal action. I am a law-abiding American citizen.
Then, _____ who has a personal grudge against me, started distributing the libelous
claim of a misdemeanor to other organizations including courts where I had a lawsuit
and to potential employers, such as City of Phoenix, where I had applied for
employment. They are both inept and have misused their positions for personal gain
and vengeance and should be sanctioned.