

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-011

Complainant: No. 1383110760A

Judge: No. 1383110760B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge improperly issued a warrant that was based on perjury. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23.

Dated: March 17, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 17, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2010-011

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: 1-7-10 (2-23-09?)

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

SW While no judge can know if the material in a Search Warrant contains perjury against the accused, he should at least read it. Had Judge read that warrant, he would have seen an egregious error: Page 4: "On February 13, 2008 at approximately 1153 hours, your affiant met with (copy #1 enclosed) this was 1 month prior to the plea deal!

A mistake, but one that is "overlooked" when made by the State.
In CV the case of the Plaintiff was dismissed for lack of form. Yet the Defendant's Attorney, respectfully submitted a Motion dated 11 October 2008 a full 4 1/2 months before the incident, the destruction of the Condo of the Plaintiff, occurred.
(See attachment #2) No problem with that!

The fact that the Search Warrant contained perjury and that was viciously arrested in the middle of the night based upon that perjury and is now deemed Guilty until proven Not Guilty and has spent almost one year in jail without a trial based on said perjury does not seem to offend our judicial system. This is a civil rights issue and has not been addressed as such by the Public Pretenders. (see Attachment # 3)

The only legitimate charge against "could" be violating his Probation due to excessive phone calls. These are annoying nuisances but do not merit the charge by of Stalking. He only called her at work. He could have been "taken in" at any of his Probation Appointments, which he ALWAYS KEPT. (see Attachment #3)

My complaints is that: 1. Judge did not even read the Search Warrant which needlessly destroyed my Condo.
2. That objectivity is not applied equally to the "State" vs a mere civilian and taxpayer. If you do not hire a lawyer, you cannot make any mistakes in form but if you are a lawyer, you can submit sloppy motions with grave errors and there is no penalty.

The only positive thing that has come out of the Yavapai County Political Prisoner situation is that now many formerly conservative citizens who believed in justice, now have found out the truth: There is no justice. An out-of control Police Officer will always be backed up by the judicial system. Once you have offended the State, you will be GUILTY UNTIL PROVEN NOT GUILTY.

Also, I sent Judge *a response to*
the NO KNOCK SEARCH WARRANT detailing
the errors and perjury. He sent BACK
the enclosed. ATT #4