

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-041

Complainant: No. 1050910098A

Judge: No. 1050910098B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated her constitutional rights. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant did not raise any discernable allegations and the judge's decision to have the complainant committed was within his discretion and, based on the minute entries, came only after extensive evaluations and numerous opportunities to rehabilitate her. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 20, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 20, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2010-041

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____ Date: 02/02/2010

ALL JUDGES ON THIS CASE

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

SUBPOENA STATE BAR COMPLAINT AGAINST
FEDERICA STRUMPT.

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

(1) DISCRIMINATED, DEPRIVED, AND
INFRINGED UPON A CONST.
CIVIL HUMAN RIGHTS AND LIBERTIES
AS MINISTERS OF PREJUDICE (PERSON
VS. RAY)

REVIEW ENTIRE CASE MEMORANDUM
IN STATE V _____ 2009 CASE (150-
160 PAGES)

REVIEW ENTIRE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S
CASE (_____) WITH MOTIONS TO
DISMISS CR CASE, BASED UPON PROSECUTOR
JULI WAREZYNSKI'S (SPECIAL INTEREST
CONSPIRACY TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE
STATE V GOFF VIA ENTRAPMENT AND
PURSURY DEC 14, 2009 COURT TRANSCRIPT.)

REVIEW PUBLIC DEFENDER'S CASE FILE
OF A _____ INTEROFFICE MOTIONS
DEMANDING P.D. SUBMIT TWO MOTIONS
TO DISMISS, BASED UPON PROSECUTORIAL
FRAUDS.

STATE V.S.

(2009)

CASE SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED
WITHIN 72 HOURS OF Δ

FILING HER JANUARY 20, 2009
MOTION (ON HER DEATH BED). ALL
SUPERIOR COURT AND PINA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT DISREPUTABLE
EXCESS JURISDICTIONAL JUDGES,
MINISTERS OF PREJUDICE, IGNORED
Δ WRITTEN MOTIONS.

AND DISRESPECTED Δ

BY REFUSING TO ALLOW Δ TO
SPEAK BEFORE THE JUDGES
AND HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE
FOR ALL LEGAL FACTS, ALL
LEGAL EVIDENCE (LEGAL PAPERS,
AND APPROPRIATE RULES OF
COURT AND LAW.

2.) ALL DISREPUTABLE EXCESS JUR-
ISDICTIONAL JUDGES, MINISTER
OF PREJUDICE, INTENTIONALLY,
DELIBERATELY, WILFULLY, AND
PURPOSEFULLY CONSPIRED

TO IGNORE BOBUS CASE ~~2010~~ 41
IN MESA COURT HEARINGS TO
FORFEIT MENTAL / PSYCHIATRIC
EXPERIMENTATION THAT LED
Δ TO MASSIVE WEIGHT GAIN
(200 POUNDS OVER IDEAL WEIGHT)
AND DIABETES. BASED UPON

(1) COUNTY HOSPITAL PERSONNEL
FALSIFIED NOTARY STATEMENTS
AND JUDICIAL SEAL, IMPROPERLY
FOR WITNESSES AGAINST Δ

(2) FORTY MINUTE PUBLIC Δ
CIVIL RULE 11 DEFENSE, IN
VIOLATION OF USTEK V. JONES
WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN
CONDUCTED PRIOR TO WRONGLY
LY COMMITTING Δ
TO THREE INSANE INSTITUTIONS.

(3) PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIVE
DUE PROCESS VIOLATED - -

Δ

8

5

2010-041

A.) NEVER DISPUTED THE
STATE'S FRAUDULENT AND
ERRONEOUS EVIDENCE THAT
D POSSESSED A
MENTAL ILLNESS (ALLEGATION
#1) NOR A DELUSION
ABOUT A GOVERNMENTAL
CONSPIRACY I.E. RACKETEERING,
AND REVOLUTION PER HOBBS
ACT, PER GENERALIZATION.

SEE ALEX ANDRIA
FOUR NOVELS (XLIBRIS.COM)

THE ARMAGEDDON LEGAL WAR OF
1999!

(TWO OF COMPLAINT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL COM-
PLAINT ARE ENCLOSED IN "CHRONICLE
#1)

B) NEVER ALLOWED TO DISPUTE
AND IMPERMIT STATE'S HOSTILE
WITNESSES AND THEIR FRAUDS.

2010-046

C.) NEVER ALLOWED TO BRING FORTH DEFENSE WITNESSES AND EXPERT(S) TO TESTIFY.

D.) NEVER ALLOWED TO SUBMIT CORROBORATING LEGAL EVIDENCE TO DISPUTE THE TWO FALSE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST J THAT LED TO TORTURE J WITH PSYCHOTROPIC MEDS AND UNLAWFUL INCARCERATION IN COUNTY JAILS AND MENTAL WARPS JAN 2009 AND JAN 25, 2010.

LEGAL RELIEF REQUESTED

(1) EVERY JUDGE ASSIGNED TO "STATE VS 2009 CASE (DISCIPLINED),

MOTION'S RULED ON IN OPEN COURT

(2) CHANGE OF VENUE FROM MARICOPA AND PIMA COUNTIES. MAKE SURE THE JUDGE TRAVELS TO CASE AND KNOWS THE ENTIRE CONTENT OF CASE

2010-051

(3) ALLOW A THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD. AND HAVE

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE AND
FRAUDULENT RULE 11 PROCEEDINGS
(INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL)
BASED UPON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S
OFFICE, COUNTY ATTORNEY
AND HIS DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEYS
COMMITTING --

(A) CONSPIRACY TO ENTRAP
VICTIMIZED CITY OF PHX,
PERSONNEL AND PRISON

(B) CONSPIRACY TO TAKE A
SPECIAL INTEREST IN
MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING
INNOCENT IS BECAUSE
OF DISREPUTABLE EXCESS
JURISDICTIONAL JUDICIAL
OFFICERS, MINISTERS OF
PREJUDICE, IN TEN YEARS
WORTH OF CIVIL AND FALSE
ARREST CASES.

(C) CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT
PERJURY TO UNLAWFULLY
INFLUENCE A TRIBUNAL
(ER WOULD) TO FURTHER

COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 PERSONNEL'S ARTIFICE &
 SCHEME TO WRINGFULLY
 COMMIT Δ IN
 JAIL/PRISON/MENTALLY
 TO SILENCE A WINNING
 LITIGATE,

* CITY HALL PERSONNEL WERE
 INVOLVED IN RICO VIOLATIONS
 AND AN ILLEGAL REID CONTRACT
 BEFORE DESTROYING Δ'S
 HOME AND TORTURING AND
 MURDERING Δ'S MOTHER,
 MARY STEINMETZ AND
 TORTURING Δ MENTALLY AND
 PHYSICALLY.

(4) RELEASE Δ

FROM COUNTY
 JAIL (ESTRELLA) IMMEDIATE
 LY OR BE HELD CIVILLY AND
 CRIMINALLY BY CLAR-
 INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS
 ORGANIZATION.

02/02/2010