

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 10-062

---

Complainant: No. 1387700182A

Judge: No. 1387700182B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge laughed at her and improperly denied her request for a continuance. The commission reviewed the matter and found insufficient evidence of misconduct and dismissed the complaint with a private comment to the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ William Brammer

---

J. William Brammer, Jr.  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 15, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Good afternoon. I had a conversation with the director of motor vehicles regarding an email I sent to the governors office regarding a phone conversation I had with Judge the end of February. I have attached a copy of the email I sent.

In addition to the letter, I've read the Arizona Code of Conduct For Judicial Employees. As I explained to it is very wrong to have a laugh at someone because they incorrectly read information on a form. I made the mistake to reading February 19 in lieu of February 9Th on the traffic form. At the same time I should have looked at it again to make sure. The judge told me she didn't understand why I made a mistake on the dates because it was on the paper 2 times and she gestured a 'ha' (a laugh) at the time she was saying it. I explained to her it was my fault as to why I read it wrong. I also explained to her that it is a daily struggle with keeping ADHD, Bipolar and Depression in control.

Although I voluntarily faxed a copy of some of my medical conditions, I am not at all fond of disclosing my medical information because it is private. I did so to prove what I was saying is true.

The CANON states:

**RULE 2.8**

**Professionalism**

Judicial employees shall be patient, respectful, and courteous with litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, co-workers, and others who work in the court or contact the court.

**Comment**

The duty to interact and behave with patience and courtesy is not inconsistent with the duty imposed in Rule 2.5 to handle matters diligently and promptly. Judicial employees can be efficient and businesslike while being patient and courteous.

During the conversation, Rule 2.8 apparently did not apply to me.