

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-064

Complainant: No. 1367210740A

Judge: No. 1367210740B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a judge violated his rights by failing to rule on his motions. The commission reviewed the complaint and court file and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 29, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 29, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2010-064

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 03-11-2010

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON NOVEMBER 16, 2008 I FILED A CIVIL ACTION MOTOR VEHICLE TORT COMPLAINT IN THE MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. CV-2008-
FROM NOVEMBER, 2008 THROUGH JANUARY 2010, MY RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS WAS CONTINUALLY VIOLATED BY JUDGE FOR ABSOLUTELY NO APPARENT REASON, EXCEPT FOR THE FACT THAT I AM INCARCERATED. THE RECORD IS CLEAR AND ESTABLISHES JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT ON PART OF JUDGE WITH EXTREME BIAS AND PREJUDICE IN VIOLATION OF MY VICTIMS RIGHTS AND THE ANTI-TERRORISM ACT (STANDARDS) FROM NOVEMBER 18TH, 2008 THRU SEPTEMBER 22ND, 2009, THE JUDGE FAILED TO MAKE ANY RULINGS ON ANY OF THE PLAINTIFFS MOTIONS. (SEE COURTS MINUTE ENTRIES ATTACHED HERETO MARKED AS EXHIBIT "A") IT IS IRONIC HOWEVER, THAT JUDGE RULED ON THE DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO TAKE THE PLAINTIFFS DEPOSITION ON AUGUST 6, 2009 ALTHOUGH HE HAD FAILED TO RUL ON ALL MOTIONS WHICH HAD BEEN FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF, JONATHAN METALLISER FROM NOVEMBER 18TH 2008 TO SEPTEMBER 22ND, 2009 (SEE COURT MINUTE ENTRIES HERETO ATTACHED AT EXHIBIT "B") FROM SEPTEMBER 22, 2009 TO JANUARY 2010, THE PLAINTIFF FILED NUMEROUS MOTIONS WITH THE COURT IN CASE NO. CV-2008. HERE IS A LIST OF MOTIONS ETC. IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER THAT HAVE NOT BEEN RUL ON IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR SUPERIOR COURT ADMINISTRATION RULE 91 (E). (SEE PAGES 3 THRU 7 ATTACHED HERETO AS ADDITIONAL SHEETS)

(Attach additional sheets as needed)