State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-081		
Complainant:		No.	0009310319A
Judge:		No.	0009310319B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a judge failed to rule on his Rule 32 petition within the required time. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 15, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2010-081

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 03/23/2010
words what the judge did times and places that wi documents. Print or type Judge	Ise this form or plain paper of the same size to file a come of that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specified by understand your concerns. You may attach added on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the constitution of Canon 3B(8) of the Atiz	cific and list all of the names, dates, litional pages but not original court omplaint for your files. ona Code of Conduct.
This is because o	of her failure or refusal to promptly dispos	se of my Rule 32 of-
Right Petition. 9	The 20-page Petition was lodged by	my attorney, Cedric
Martin Hopkins, a	on November 27, 2009. The State's 1	1-page Response
	ed a request for summary Judgment a	
	was filed on December 2, 2009. My atto	
	December 31, 2009. A In violation of	
	f C-iminal Procedure (ARC+P), Judge	
	w my Rule 32 Petition within twenty d	
	-more, Judge is in violation o	
	ion by failing/tefusing to decide on my	
	of its submission. A As per ARCIP Rule 32.	
	iginal criminal action (Apache County Cl	
a separate ac	tion such as an appeal or special action	n. Therefore, it is
subject to the	same time limits as any criminal	procedure before a
	in Atizona. A This instant failure/ref.	
this judicial m	latter, promptly, is further evidence	ce of a pattern of
practice agains	t me by Judge (See Complaint	07-238 Filed by me
	ssion in 2007; and also Special Action	
v Grimsley Wilk	ins.) This pattern of practice is character	ized by the two (above
ratar areal dala	ys of more than one year, each, in d	ecisions/orders that
charle base to	ken less than 20 days. Is that to be	the fate of my Rule
37 Family Pa	tition?! Justice delayed is Justin	re devied
DI		
		oluntarily excuse her-
self from this	Case,	