### State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

**Disposition of Complaint 10-096** Complainant: No. 1390510641A No. 1390510641B

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge erroneously denied his motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The claim that the judge ruled improperly raises a legal issue outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 11, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 11, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disgualification of a judge.

Judge:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR	OFFICE	USE	ONLY

# 2010-096

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

\_\_\_\_ Judge's name:

\_ Date: <u>04-13-1</u>0

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I FILEd IN THE SupERIOR COURT of MARICOPA COUNTY A
GROUP of DocuMENTS Challenging the Subject Matter
Junisdiction of the count in this matter.
Judge REFUSE TO bEAR this motion
As designated and to Avoid the serious issues
presented which showed that the court did not have
subject untile Jurisdiction in this case but still
PROCEEDED OUR Objection And SEVERAL Motions
She unlowfully changed the JURISDICITIONAL
Challenge into A Rule 32. IN Addition,
EVERY document submitted had A swonn Affedavit
Attached. THERE WAS NEVER A REBUTAL
to ANY of the Affisaviti And still
this count Again VioLated the Low And
Ruled with out Ary witnesses on Euldered
to prove I was wrong This Judge has
VIOLATED STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS AND hAS
willfully violated her swoon onth of office
She has KNOWINGLY VICINTED SECURAL
ARIZOLA STATE LAW by HER PROJUDICE AS WULL
AS THE FEDERAL OUL SAME OF THE FUELOG AS WOLL
AS THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONS She
does not have the Quilifications OR KNOWLODSE TO
be a judge and must be REMOUND for those survey
violations of Judicial Rules Boford sur dows
ANY FURTHER DAMASE, FAILURE to Do so would condone
this action and further Disgrace the Court And
Judicial System in ARIZONA, This court was
Noticed AND DEFAULTED AND MAS REFUSED to

(continuon)

5

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

624

# 2010-096

defend Against my Allesations, this Judge has further, by har actions, Attempted to cover up the violations of the state And other sudges who have committed furyed and PROCEEded with proceedings And Ignored the fucts submitted. Chiminal Churges And AN INDUSTIGATION INTO the Actions of this Judges for counting up folony Actions Must be started. TWENTY SEVEN (27) Motions plus & Rule 32 Motion. What 15 this Judge APRAid of the Public finding out About the MHNNER in which this CASE has bren handled.