

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-119

Complainant: No. 1392600158A

Judge: No. 1392600158B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge issued incorrect rulings. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are legal or appellate in nature and a more appropriate remedy would have been to file an appeal. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 8, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 8, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-119

MAY 05 2010

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

My complaint is about the judicial procedures as well as the "cover-up" Judge allowed to transpire. After court date 3-5-09 CV-2008.

Not only am I (Grose) I have dynamic evidence to claim truthfulness to the allegations set forth against physician (ie) loads of medications which are in the exhibits, Expert Affidavits, Breach of Confidentiality (HIPAA) also submitted to the court.

My Chief complaint against Judge D. L. Judge (name) dismissed, the case against physician, even without a recorded signature, see Declaration of Truth which was never signed nor in any of the court copies, thru the clerk.

② In the case against Judge _____ only allowed (2) exhibits to be held for evidence, (5) & (8) which one is a picture of fastest wound, including lack of Standard of Care (ie) NO Normalization, or Lab diagnosis over a period of 6 years.

Arizona Judicial Conduct Committee
Phoenix, Arizona
Superior Court House

Dear Members of (Judicial review). The following facts, are evident as seen in the midday recordings from the docket recorded from.

It says ^{counsel for} will testify at trial, consistent with facts set forth from the Court.

① The record on Via Satellite courtroom trial date 5-5-09. A question permitted from Judge was excused, & dismissed, which entailed (2) very tricky but both meaning two different things.
(A) Hippalaw Enforce Privacy Act.
The question was asked from the cables, of the debent was it Mr. ^{was ever treated by}

② His assumption was permitted to ask the same things what was previously ordered on dismissed by Judge ^{dismissed from}
The Response for ^{dismissed from}
Burgess was (No)

② The Opiate Consent Agreement form which is was never once brought to the forefront until 5 years of not meeting the standard of care by "no means of Lab analysis/printouts, or any form of measuring measures, to inform me of why and what alternatives if any to pursue."

③ Judge Dismissal of the cables CV-2008.
① Verification of ^{CV-2008.} ^{Never signed for completion}
Relevant still allows this to pass thru as Snake Green.
② Obtain Rulings and Smote Screen tactics to allow in All Exhibits that were not included at trial on 5-5-10