

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-121

Complainant:	No. 1390610774A
Judge:	No. 1390610774B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two justices of the peace had conflicts of interest, engaged in ex parte communications, and issued incorrect rulings. After analyzing the complaint, the attachments, and a recording the complainant provided, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of either judge. It appears the complainant's primary concern involves judicial decisions, but the commission is not a court and cannot determine whether the judges' rulings were right or wrong. The complaint must be dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 29, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 29, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-121

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 5/10/2010

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Judge Pro Tem is an attorney in her own Law Practice. Exhibit A. Judge had a conflict of Interest in my case, and she is incompetent as a judge or attorney. She violated the Rules of Civil Procedure, and Cannon laws. She engaged in Judicial misconduct, was not impartial and was biased. She thought my case was a joke, laughed about on Youtube. she did ex parte Communications with Defendant Attorney, and Judge. She even stated that she should have dismissed this case at, and not Judge. She stated Judge should have recused himself. She violated due process of the law, willful misconduct while in office, Code of Judicial Conduct. I charge Pro Tem Judge with the following:

Rule 1.1, Rule 1.2 (1,2,3,4,5), Rule 1.3(1), Rule 2.2 (1,2,3,4), Rule 2.3 (B)(C)(1), Rule 2.4(A)(B)(C), Rule 2.5(A)(B)(1), Rule 2.6(A)(1), Rule 2.7, Rule 2.8(A)(B), Rule 2.9(A)(b)(3)(6)(B)(1)(9), Rule 2.10(A)(B)(C), Rule 2.11(A)(1)(6)(a)(b)(1)(5), Rule 2.14, Rule 2.15(A)(B)(C)(1)(2)(D), (1)(2). Incorporated with this complaint is the Complaint

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

(2)

2010-121

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 5/10/2010

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Against Judge, Judge Pro Tem,
of City of Peoria, Arizona, worked with an under then mayor.
Worked as a City of Peoria prosecutor for numerous years. She should have recused herself, brought it to the attention of the Court regarding attorney misconduct, and conflict of interest. She did nothing. She was a part of racketeering, fraud, willful misconduct, fraud upon the Court. She stated she read the entire case file - however, she could not, as she would have seen that Defendant Attorney failed to comply with discovery. She granted sanctions in this case against me.
Exhibit B. She confuses my motion regarding willful misconduct of Defendant Attorney as my failing to do discovery. If she had taken the incentive to view the case file, she would have seen that I did discovery and provided it to Defendant Attorney. Exhibit G.
Exhibit F motion to vacate sanctions. Exhibit H motion to vacate order granting sanctions.
Exhibit I Affidavit of Attorney fees.
Exhibit J Statement of Attorney Costs.
Her biased attitude, along with prejudice, caused me to lose this case, and additional

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

financial hardships - this case being on appeal. Appeal costs include \$325.00 for appeal and \$525.00 for Attorney Costs, (as sanctions for something I did not do.) By her granting sanctions - when Defendant Attorney failed to timely file his documents and then Judge dismissed the case and granted additionally sanctions on her incorrect ruling order.

Pro Tem Judge is an extremely poor judge and a horrible attorney. She used favoritism and racketeering to help her old associate out of this case (Attorney) by making it so that he could get my case dismissed and get Attorney fees as well. She was not open minded nor objective, not applying the law without regard to whether she approves or disapproves of the law in question. She intentionally had a disregard of the law and this constituted misconduct. She did not like the fact that I was a "Pro Se" litigant suing the City of Peoria. She did not like my socioeconomic status, gender. She was never impartial and eluded fairness. She is a poor excuse for a judge and attorney. She should never be allowed to sit again on the bench.