

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-147

Complainant: No. 1395210203A

Judge: No. 1395210203B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges violated her civil rights as a victim. After analyzing the issues, the commission found insufficient evidence to justify further investigation against either judge. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 23, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \sl Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 23, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

JUN 04 2010

Commission for Judicial Conduct
1501 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3227

Thursday, June 3, 2010

Case #

This is regarding the cowardly and deceitful behavior of "Judge" _____ in the above referenced case.

I am providing some of the desperate letters begging this man to protect my rights as a "victim" of the case that I sent as well as numerous conversations with his assistant

Instead of rendering me and my legal rights their rightful place and offering the protection "OF" the court, by inaction participated in an effort to allow the Adult Probation Department to place Mr. _____ in a situation where due to his country of origin, he could be deported by continuing abuse of their right to violate probation. This is a serious civil rights violation if anyone cares.

Under the code of ethics, I believe it is incumbent upon this tiny fraud to report serious allegations of crimes. He never did, instead "punting" Mr. _____ future down the road to deportation in order to cover for the numerous crimes of _____ APO his supervisor, the head of Adult Probation _____ and so many others who have been caught with their hands in the pocket of a wealthy individual _____ that due to his close connection to Judge _____ buys justice as if Maricopa Superior Court is no more than Van Buren peddling blow jobs for \$20.00 per.

(Of course it helps with the negligent behavior, crass lies and laziness from those who work in your office).

In these letters, I demanded my VICTIMS RIGHTS be protected from lies told by APO _____ and county prosecutor _____. By doing nothing, he forced my withdrawal from the case due to my fear (I am seriously ill; I could see this liar would NEVER think to protect me from vicious lying attacks.)

I pointed out that _____ in open court called me a liar.

told me that I had “opted out” of my victim’s rights; I stated that I most certainly had not done so, but [redacted] stripped me off my federal rights of protection from just such abuse.

An affidavit was entered into record of [redacted] calling my house along with her coconspirators that she lied during a conference call; I told him the same thing in my letter.

He did nothing and most assuredly did not inform you’re office as to these serious crimes (or did he, and you just blew them off, I want to know which it was).

Mr. [redacted] became angry during the sentencing, [redacted] used the opportunity to correct all the errors and cover up the lies told on the stand by so very many (Mr. [redacted] should NEVER have been in Domestic Violence Court, but thanks to good ol’ [redacted] that lie was corrected) when he became increasingly angry at [redacted] breathtaking deceit under the color of law and that he would just be placed back into the path of inevitable deportation. [redacted] actually had the utter balls to add “anger management” to the sentence as if his very own lies and obvious bias would somehow vanish if Mr. [redacted] was just abused by yet another judge enough.

The man is a guttersnipe, deeply untrustworthy and since he works with [redacted] : so very very closely (money or sex, damned if I can see why he worked so very very hard to cover up for her otherwise), he is undoubtedly a liar of the first order.

Try to at least LOOK like you get some “evidence” before you blow off the vast amount of evidence of yet another dirty judge in that sad pathetic swamp you “regulate”.

(What a joke THAT is).

Enclosures

Fax dated Nov 16th (3 pages) sent to [redacted] and ignored..
Affidavit dated June 8th 2007 re. [redacted] illegal contact and the lies told by her to a case victim. Never Reported to Commission and ignored during the trial despite serious ethics and criminal violations of

This is a working definition of Ex parte communication (Why Can't I Talk or Write to the Judge?)

"Ex Parte" Contact with the Judge is Not Allowed

What is an "ex parte communication"?

"Ex parte" is a Latin phrase meaning "on one side only; by or for one party." An ex parte communication occurs when a party to a case, or someone involved with party, talks or writes to or otherwise communicates directly with the judge about the issues in the case without the other parties' knowledge. Under the Judicial Code of Conduct, judges may not permit or consider "ex parte communications" in deciding a case unless expressly allowed by law. This ban helps judges decide cases fairly since their decisions are based only on the evidence and arguments presented to the court and the applicable law. It also preserves public trust in the legal and court system.

What is a "party"? "Party" refers to any person or organization who sues or is sued. In a civil case, the party who initiates the lawsuit is called the plaintiff (or, sometimes, the petitioner or complainant). In a criminal case, it is the State of Hawai'i - generally represented in court by either a county deputy prosecutor or a state deputy attorney general - that initiates the lawsuit. The party against whom the lawsuit is brought is called the defendant (or, sometimes, the respondent).

Why are judges not allowed to consider ex parte communications?

Would you like it if the judge spoke to the other parties about your case without your knowledge? Probably not! The rule banning ex parte communications ensures that the court process is fair and that all parties have the same information as the judge who will be deciding the case. When all parties have the same information, a party who disagrees with the information can contest it in court now.

SO WHEN CALLED WITH OTHERS SHE KNEW IT WAS A SERIOUS CRIME!!!!

So why did she do it???

\$

BR