# State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-162	
Complainant:		No. 1396010817A
Judge:		No. 1396010817B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased, should have disqualified himself, and issued improper rulings. The commission reviewed the allegations and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The allegations of bias were unsubstantiated. A judge is not required to disqualify himself just because a lawsuit is filed against him, and the commission has no authority to decide whether the judge's legal rulings are improper. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 27, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

**Executive Director** 

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 27, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

### CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-162

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: b-18-10	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.			
	I a lawyer dealing with	the 100 And	
		uas not allowed	
	lithesses nothing for r	. =	
Then the County DI		Ci (	
again we got	. One court date	was for	
march 24th C	I which me I was t	old by my	
lawyee not to go (I	have his smalls to prove	1+1. The	
next day march z	5th was the county c	<u>ase (</u>	
I WENT to this cas	E The yodge made s	tatements_	
about us bond not	being there the day he	fore (I have	
the transcripts) I	preaded the 5th, wh	uch they judge	
did not like the C	binty put evidence	in. The	
Trade optected		ince and	
then admitted it	INTO EVIDENCE and	mon 36	
DOWNS 12 KEBEK	senting me as will	as being	
my Judge in my	Case. At the and an	1 atter	
Grying an answer +	that we are solver	nt and do not	
about that and co	isaicition - he aid not	Even do anything	
house And I are	ye the Canly their 1	of Colland	
OLONIES The month	of late of the make of	OK SEILTRY	
PACOPONIOIS LIED C	not open my buisness is later the judge of	alorsed on	
Day home and acre	that alantice aver	Hung ha	
Lighted also The	County than took	the to be of	
accor because the	that plantiff ever County then took I Judge Said we ha	y to take	
Man Della Color	Jonge said were	U IU IUNC	

# **CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-162

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:	Judge's name:	_Date: 6-18-10
Please describe in your own us understand your concern, Include only copies of origin one side of the paper only, a	words what the judge said or did that you believe cons be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and hal documents or court recordings that are relevant to the deep a copy of the complaint for your files.	places where the conduct occurred.  your allegations. Print or type on
		May 14 agt
		Lourt date
June 7 nd 70	^	
* * - : : <del>-</del> - : : - : : - : : - : : - : : : - : : : - : : : : - : : : : - :		the house. This
	OUX DECAUSE MIKE M	
1	oudge and	<u>meee</u>
	I with a Lawsuit CV.	<del>-</del>
by the Un	ited States District Cou	ort.
I have	Since been seeved age	an for a July
7, 2010 hear	ring to prove that my	WEBSITE IS
down (it is)	that I have not sold o	inos (I have not)
and that I	have no business (I'	ravent, but I don't
Know how.	to provethis)	
This is al	lso going infront of	and
15 (	POSICITING AFTER WA	have filed a
ا حداد ما	, ,	ne out of
てみて)_	W ACCEPTE	
I Find H	rat the judge is over	Stroping is
bounds he h	as out one tase to an	Gth90 119 19
Still Aha 11	orge after we Sue 75	lin That Is
me 18 1.180	on the Should engineer	10 005CIC COOM
both cases	19. HE SHOULD EXCOVE	MILLINE IF . PICOL.
		100:001
CH STOOKS	never had granted the ) appeal is being bear	100HEMENA OI)
CA	1 obbeat 12 Dring hea	dy for that. HPDEII
MS DEED DU	t in for CCV-	