State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-168	
Complainant:		No. 1396410651A
Judge:		No. 1396410651B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace did not give him an opportunity to present his case because of bias. After reviewing the complaint and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 24, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 24, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

June 23rd, 2010

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

JUN 28 2010

Dear Sir(s) or Madam(s),

I have already filed a complaint with your board concerning Judge County Consolidated Justice Court. I believe that she acted unfairly and implied that I did not have the same rights as a participant and hearing that was not granted a "telephonic trial" such as myself. As well as she did not take into due consideration the nature of my disability or ability to represent myself in court. Such things were submitted into evidence and I felt that she discriminated against me due to my finances, place of residence, and me being on disability. I found this unethical and wanted to state it as such under legible means. I also felt she was very biased against me and one sided and only sided with the Plaintiff not even allowing me proper time to represent the facts or details in my case before "denying" me. I have been told that Action Financial IV, LLC works very close to judges and political people and are part of "patting each other on the back with bribes and political leanings". The lawyers in question for the corporation Aron & Associates, P.C. are responsible for representing Action Financial IV, LLC and are not authorized to practice debt collection in Arizona. I have reported them to several authorities for at least 4 federal infractions against FDCPA and FCRA as well "admittance" to assisting in a criminal act against me, the defendant in the matter of CV08-I have also reported these lawyers Esther B. Simon and Peter M. Balsino for unethical measures under ER 1.2 of the state bar codes as they have committed willful misconduct in this case. But, the point I wanted to bring up about judge which may have been shrouded by the handwriting of my last letter is her violation of Civil Court Rules as well. Under 6(e) I am allowed 5 days to respond to the Plaintiff's response to my motion and the Judge had ruled against me within 4 days not complying with court rules and not allowing me to properly defend myself which forced me to have to file my motion again to get to the point of having a hearing accepted upon my behalf in which she did not even give me time nor allow me a disabled person with a mental, emotional, and physical issues and on medication with a slight speech impediment and inability to answer questions quickly in a verbal fashion to mention all the clauses 1-5 under Rule 60(c). This was a very unfair and discriminatory hearing. She not only seemed to mention my financial standing in disdain but, I sensed an undertone of resentment that I was even allowed a "telephonic hearing" due to the fact that I live in Hawaii. It appears to me she assumed that I am living in a vacation paradise on welfare so, that I did not deserve a fair chance. That is the unfair impression she gave me. I live in Hawaii with my family who is from here and nobody is rich nor has a job nor is living in paradise despite her unjust assumption. This is no reason to cut short someone who has a difficult time speaking verbally from defending themselves with over 60 relevant pages of facts filed and displaying why the "illegal judgment" should be thrown out of court at once. Something recognized as fraud by federal agencies is credible evidence enough under rules 60(c) to show inadvertence and mistake in judgment. I was not even allowed to make it through 5 minutes of conversation before being blasted out of her court. I believe she is also prejudiced against people that represent themselves as a whole and probably was glad to dismiss and deny m y motion in hopes that I would file another one to give their court further monetary income. Where, I live does not imply that I have any finances to do so in the least and I should have been treated fairly regardless of finances, location, disability, and any other biases which I know the judge harnessed against me in court. I feel that anyone else would have gotten a fair shake to present the facts or rules in their case. She broke a civil rule when she did not allow me 5 days to answer the Plaintiff before making a decision against me. I feel as though I have wasted many efforts for nothing. I was very nice with her at first even trying to say the "court" made the error at first but, I will hold back no longer. Judge is a very unfair and unethical judge. Discrimination must not be tolerated. Especially by

someone as such a high standard a s judge within a Justice Court. Freedom, Liberty, and Justice is what America has always stood for. When people start stepping on the one's that have more problems than the average person instead of giving a helping hand to those in need of a few special considerations this is a deterioration of all that the Judicial System is supposed to represent. When judges start accepting political bribes from law firms and private political agendas we must put an end to this at once. I have reported this to as many authorities as possible and now I will contact the FBI on the matter. As I mentioned before the law firm which is the Plaintiff's Action Financial IV, LLC represented by Aron & Associates, P.C. have admitted to fraud by showing a rebuttal of my response to them to the Attorney General of Tucson in which I cite them for collecting on an account marked as fraudulent since December of 2000. They also have no license to collect in Arizona and never validated the account. But, As I mentioned being part of a crime and showing admittance as a lawyer such as Peter Balsino who works with special "ethics" groups is a repulsive sight to see. Back to Judge I feel her unfair measures are as equivalent as expecting an old lady in a wheel chair to run. Because I did not run at her wishes or command she became annoyed with me and my disability and that is discrimination and that is not professional conduct and it is not professional to make a judgment against someone's motion in court before allowing the proper amount of time to answer to the other party. This error was solely hers and again I feel she discriminates against me for everything I've mentioned just by her remarks and actions towards me. Not to mention that I'm a man. Since the lawyer at the time of the hearing was Esther B. Simon, I felt that she also discriminated against me because I am a man. She had no problem letting this lady talk over me and everything presented by this women to take precedence over anything I had to say. She would not even acknowledge my rebuttal and she acted as if I was the lowest piece of crud on the planet. When I properly objected to hearsay of the party she seemed confused as to why I objected to hearsay and evidence which was not admissible in court and became very short with me. It was as if she expected me to stand there and take every bit of abuse, fraud, and harassment, and improper serving from the lawyers and the court from which whom I never received the original summons to court via any means whatsoever. The affidavit she spoke about was signed a year before they ever went behind my back and called me back into court. They pretended they were going to back off of me when I asked them to cease and desist and quit trying to collect on something I did not owe them and that they could not validate. They intentionally delayed the time to make trial as to where they also failed to make contact and had put the impression in my mind that the matter was closed. After my Answer in court we were working things out of court in the matter that it was agreed I would not pay them. So, I had no idea that they would use a paper I signed such a long time ago against me after pretending the matter was closed. People within the court had warned me of crooked ties with this law firm and that they could not be "beaten" because they had too many strong ties within the chambers. Anyways, I know when injustice has been served and I aim to make things right. Nobody, not even a judge is above the laws which govern the courts and the state. I will not tolerate such discrimination against me and my character ever again. I will fight the opposition which is trying to harm my rights as a citizen even if I must bring the charges against the wrongdoers to a federal court and a federal judge. Whatever information they FBI can gather on the matter I will also use against them in a court of law when I make a new case involving discrimination. So, your cooperation on this matter will be much appreciated. I thank you again for your time and patience concerning this matter which has caused me much mental stress, blood pressure problems, headaches, shortness of breath, insomnia, night sweats, emotional paralysis, ulcers, inability to think clearly, hypertension, humiliation, nervousness, fear and worry, anxiety, nightmares, chest constrictions, stress to children, irritability, indignation, embarrassment, loss of appetite, pain and suffering, which I feel is in my right to sue these people for under their violations of FCRA which has used inaccurate information against me. The judge's unethical misconduct has also added to my already present problems and I will not let this be forgotten or forgiven. All, I needed was fairness and I was treated as a "freak" and disabled low life that had no right to air my voice in Judge of Pima County Consolidated Justice Court's room. Thank you again.

Sincerely,