## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-175	
Complainant:		No. 1397510650A
Judge:		No. 1397510650B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made incorrect legal rulings. The commission reviewed the allegations and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The allegations are not sufficient to support an investigation. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 31, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 31, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

	The point of the fact is, I was summon to
	A Court of defreto by Judge for a Crime
	Without A Contract, membership or consent.
	I was never asked whether or not I wanted to
	Join. I don't, therefore, consider I have joined
	Whatever anyone else may think. I consider I have
	been thoroughly deceived, throughout my entire
	life. I don't need to submit my resignation,
	because I was only joined as a member on someone
	else's Assumption in the first place. And that
	doesn't count, as far as I'm concerned.
	On 7-1-10 Judge ask me to state A
	Legal Fiction Person and date of borth (yes, this the right
	berth) with is a Hoax in order to clutch at a strawman.
	When, I did not acquiesce, she had to keep me from
	Saying Anothing else (for Claudestine purpose) by Shouting
	in A rambunctions tome "I'm the Boss, I'm the Boss"
	Which I am not denying that, for the simple fact,
	A court of defacto was established for means of
<u></u>	Private Concern Providing no Arbitration Service.
	(Supposedly independent, but with the overall
•	desire - as do all private concern - to simply make
	A Dro fit). So, that would make her the Boss,
	but "He who dishonours loses!
10f2	! .
10f2	A profit). So, that would make her the Boss, but "He who dishonours loses"

	Which can be proven by court Video and the
	Stenography on the above date.
	I filed a motion to change Course I which would
	have made me " IN propria persona", (stop lets
	Correct that NOW, "I'm a Sovereign Human Being in My
	Book) but would not acknowledge it, because she
	Assume me to be a ward of the court, That's
	why she stated you need a lawyer. As of this
	Complaint she has appointed a new Coursel
.,	by the Name of Robyn Varcoe.
	Well, this is the fact about Attorney & Client I
	CAN NOT get pass.
	In the Corpus Juris Secundum (C.J.S) Legal
	Encyclopedia Volume 7 section 4, which quote:
	(His first duty is to the courts and the public, Not
	to the client.).
	So, this is one more part of the Grand
and the second seco	deception, but I haven't left no stones
	inturved for my welfare.
	Therefore, At this time Judge has
	meet All the elements in committing A Crime in
	Accordance to common law. (the real Law of the land
	And not the water. I requestins that this matter
	be handled in a " court of de jure "
	<u> </u>
2 of 2	CC: The world Freeman Society
	without Prejudice