## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-186

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1396810510A

No. 1396810510B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge refused to assign new counsel. This issue does not involve a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct because the decision to deny a change of counsel is within the discretion of the judge and outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 15, 2010

## FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 15, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

June 29,2010 2010-186 JUL 1 9 2010 commission on fudical conduct. thave a matter that twould like for you totake under Advisement. Its regarding a superior cart when the mane of of Braricops county. I was recently represented in a criminal matter by the maricopa county public defenders office, while under their wisdiction I fileda cuil suit Against two crim inal Attorney's that were appointed to my case. These two lawyers and I had delibercite induperences which resulted in a cuil watter. atter I had these two attorney's served thier summars by the maricopa county' I brashtittomy judges attention that it was a conflict of interrest to have these two Attorney's represent me on mycriminalcase when I fada pendinglaw Suitagainst them. well blatantly distager ded, this issue and continued to let these Attor ney's represent me. Jurthen more when I asked or A charge of causel, deniedmyrequest and never to 3 kit under advisement to give me another attorney, due to my request or my circumstances. Trial judges ate suppose to use thier best discretion when dealing with situations such as these. The U.S.-

## 2010-186

constitution supports this : sixth amend. mentioghts of a criminal defendant. I feel this judge acted in appropriately by ichoring my request for New coursel and my curl suit Aspinst the public defender Iteel tolerders orbeing capricious in Actions. de 18 gmy request for a chance of car neunder duressinu vayoutor my Situation but rep HIER Self. and even after I a presiding judge made the two attorneys thad the suit Aspinst my co-coursel. Is this how our judicial system works orneuls S. Ithinknot.