State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-222	
Complainant:		No. 1400410826A
Judge:		No. 1400410826B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge demonstrated bias by not allowing him to dismiss his attorney after the attorney requested a Rule 11 evaluation. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Court rulings are within the discretion of the judge and outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 21, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 21, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-222

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:	Judge's Name:	Date:	8-25-10
plain paper of the same size to back of any page. You may att	ur own words what the judge did that you ames, dates, times, and places related to yo explain your complaint, and you may attach copies of any documents you believe to	our complaint. You can ach additional pages. Do will help us understand you	use this form or not write on the ur complaint.
On 8-16-10 I app		court room	in hopes
In hopes that L u	uould get a ruling on m	y motion I sub	mitted
Ten days prior to u	vaiver counsel and to pri	oceed Pro-Per	
Un Aug lum Attor	mey Dawnese Agnick st	nowed up at the	hearing.
Ana filed a Motio	n for Pule 11.1. In a p	revious Atty-(lient
THEETING 8-6-10 AT	-4th Ave Jail we had a ve	rbal Dispute be	cause
I intormed her thu	t the court Lacked Jun	cisdiction. This	was an
1850e that I want	ed to raise under 16.1 (B)	A rule that as	serts
that Lack of Jurisi	diction my bevaised at	any time. fert	her more
I informed Atty A	tgnick that her service:	s would not be	need
an any future se	rvices or benifits will be	c waived. I pro	omo thu
Submitted the nece	essary motion (e.1(c) Kno	wingly, intellige	infly
voluntarily to wait	le Counsel.	00.	
When I appeared h		eacted with bio	ls against
my motion to wai	we counsel an granted	Atty Agnicks	motion
for hule 11.1 Withou	t even paying need to	my motion wit	an preceeded
hers. This hindered	my special preading to	Claim my Ina	lienable
human rights und	der Common Law Jurisdi	iction.	
This court is un	neawful, By Law, In Lo	w an of color	of Lau
witch makes it f	roudulent. The state see	eks to deprive	me of
my Inalienable h	uman rights Afforded +	to Citizens and	seccured
	nstitution. Legal misrepre		
Bias have sabotage	ed my efforts to proceed	in Court. I Kno	winalu
Willingly, an intellic	gently without vexation o	rillwill or Frivio	lity file
this complaint.	, J		
•	(Attach additional sheets as needed	d.)	