State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-227	
Complainant:		No. 1291300608A
Judge:		No. 1291300608B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court pro tem judge was biased and engaged in an improper ex parte communication. After analyzing the allegations, the judge's response, and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission decided to dismiss the complaint with an advisory letter regarding Rule 2.8 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, which requires judges to maintain decorum in court proceedings and to be patient, dignified, and courteous. The commission found no evidence of bias or improper communications. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 18, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 18, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Arizona State BAR association

sirs

I would like to file a complaint aginst a person acting as a judge

here in Ajo.

He is not qualified to sit in judgement of any court case that could have extenuating circumstanes to another persons life or lifestyle.

He is very prejuditial and plays games with the court to benifit his or his friends agenda.

He should be removed from his position at once and any cases he has sat on should be reviewed and possibly turned over if he had any friends involved.

In my case he knew his friend needed more time, and the case was an open and shut case against his friend and so he just found ways to postpone things for a total of seven months.

Because the case was taking so long it drew the intrest of a lot of people including a past judge who came into the court room and listened in. Because he was there this pro-tem had to do right and complete the case which he should have done long ago. I thank the other judge for showing up when he did or this case would still be going on.

Knowing I was getting tired of the runaround this judge tried to get me to cause a ruckus in the court room and brought an officer in to have him stand right next to me trying to intimadate me.It did not work.

I am the person who filed the complaint yet I never got to

speak my piece. He told me to wait til the other party(his friend)was done. For six months I was told to wait and let the other party speak until we got an oficial in the court room,other than him,at .which time he was forced to correct his attitude and run a proper court. I feel this is not the attitude we need here in our court rooms and believe he should be removed. After the elections I feel we will have at least one new judge and we welcome him.

At this time I would like to have someone review my case and set a fair judgement ,but the important thing is to get this man off the bench. He has hurt me but not as much as he could hurt another person in another circumstanse. He has caused me to loose the sale of my house two times as no one wants to buy into an ongoing court case. I will survive.

The Judge was an x Ada in our area, now we know why we had so many complaints about our court system over the years. My case #J-1003 CV-

,should have been resolved on the first day of the trail.My neighbor was attempting to tresspass on my property with an unwelcome wall. I tried to stop his building on my land and more importantly ,in my driveway.SIMPLE O.K.I didn't know they were friends of the blood.

They were seen talking in the hall area befor and after the cases.

I have been told that the conversations they were having should have been illigal, as there never should have been any talk about the case until the case is over.

PLEASE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS TRAVISTY.