State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-240	
Complainant:		No. 1401210892A
Judge:		No. 1401210892B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that an administrative law judge engaged in an improper ex parte conversation and ignored evidence. The complaint is dismissed because the commission has no jurisdiction over administrative law judges.

Dated: October 22, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 22, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-240

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	_ Date: _9-16-2010
Please describe in your own w us understand your concern, I Include only copies of origin one side of the paper only, ar This detail	or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. A words what the judge said or did that you believe const be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and all documents or court recordings that are relevant to had keep a copy of the complaint for your files. Is a what happened on sort the court with the same, Why is a July which he court with the side the court room with	titutes judicial misconduct. To help places where the conduct occurred. I your allegations. Print or type on Staly 22, 20/0 in what happened have a
^ ^ * ^V -	It, She had auversation u	` ^ 0
84 mis cons	Luct 87- 02 Exparte	Communication
from what	ver if it was after heaving on John Hoydens fore i Laid to him Judge Harriet Junne	twasil similiz
Tohn Hunder	of ore growing all pople bedit finish I rue	icant testifnon On March 23, 2010
snow on the	ground and Temporture was	105 degrets
they would be be havings to	liene lum el alu not the a ouble mich Industriel. TV	are way swered
of a tudge!	oute wich Industries. The 1 Upilations have. This is and pid	rules Blasure