State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-258

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1298910102A

No. 1298910102B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made improper evidentiary rulings and allowed the prosecutor to engage in improper conduct because of bias. The commission reviewed the allegations and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission has no authority to review court rulings or examine the conduct of prosecutors. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 9, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 9, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-258

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 10-1-10

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. During my trial, which began August 24, 2010, judge made it clear to the jury that she was bias in the case by her impropriate actions as well as condoning the involopriate of the prosecutor. form a pattern early on that ecame braan everyone when prosecutor to use allow context rom evidence such as the transeri from mu interview with Law then n the detense attorney to Same evidence similar ter severa was not going to gilow a fair trial rulings it was apparent th then allowed Rhoton, to turn the court room into a show for the lury. the prosecutor, it on a lina a big deal Rhoton began about my age since thought wastaking allowed girl who I believed was 18. Once ston to k ced attacking megbourm disability in front of ave he moved bring of mu the jury. Rhoton years as of me and my daughter at Disnevlandand Seaworld, up on a big screen in laughing saving that it doesn't look like I was hurting then. iury and start et S.S.J. he began asking me how could I after to go to those learned that aces Nert allowed ston to out on allacking me personally about my age, disability was more than enough to get the herself began and how money Laet ivry to have me passod to the judge disability when questions the jury were I play disk oolf which made it painfully clear that ers of when about men disability were Negati remarks about my age disabil ONMV and income completely irrelevant to the case and should have never been allowed in weve about my are, disability or income so COUVI attorner never braught up anything would the and prosecutor be allowed to do so far the sole purpose to in Udge on and to aet ke me. the iur greatly The jury would be more đi the evidence and testimony relevant to the case if the improprieties <u>Rhoton were not witnessed</u> by the jury and