## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-322	
Complainant:		No. 0014110253A
Judge:		No. 0014110253B

## ORDER

An attorney alleged that a municipal court judge unfairly accused him of engaging in ex parte communications in open court, which embarrassed him and harmed his reputation. The commission reviewed the allegations and the judge's response and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge confused the complainant with another attorney and apologized. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 3, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

**Executive Director** 

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 3, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Attorney and Counselor at Law

DEC 07 2010

6 December 2010

Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 West Washington Street #229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear C.J.C.,

Enclosed you will find copies of documents relating to State v. CR10- a DWI case in the Tucson City Court, Judge

The Judge's conduct in Court last Friday was the most outrageous which I personally experienced in my entire time as an attorney. Tomorrow I begin my 35th year of practice.

Although I have left two messages on Michael Bryan's answering machine he has not called me back to acknowledge receipt of my facs earlier this morning.

Everything else aside, I am 62 years old and my honor, my good name, mean everything to me.

Judge has dragged my good name through the mud for no reason at all.

If this was an earlier time in our country's history I would send my second to his second to demand that the judge advise of his choice of weapons for a duel. That's how upset I am about this attack upon my name in a system that gives me no recourse to demand an apology or to sue for slander.

Respectfully,