## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-334	
Complainant:		No. 1407300378A
Judge:		No. 1407300378B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner made erroneous decisions and improperly considered political affiliations in resolving divorce and custody issues. The commission reviewed the complaint and the response and decided to issue a private warning to the commissioner. By taking certain actions, the commissioner appeared to have a personal interest in the case which gave the impression that the court was biased. Rule 1.2 requires a judge to avoid even the appearance of impropriety.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 18, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 18, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-334

see p. 2

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

<b>Instructions:</b> Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.
15 minutes before the conclusion of mine and my
husband's first divorce temporary orders hearing on
Oct. 25, 2010, Judge called both my
attorney: Lemore ISKONIKAS and obosine (ounsel: David
Lipartito into her Chambers. Attorn, At the conclusion
of hearing on our way back to Lenore's office she
told me: The judge said to both afterneys in her
Chambers that She is a Democrat and She is
concerned that the divorce hearings will have a negative
impact on Excareer (me: Mr.
is my husband whom I am divercing and was running
for re- election as an AZ State House Representatives
Lenore continued: Judge then stated her concern that
a Republican might be voted in place. She
also asked the party affiliation of both attorneys.
- End of Lenore's retelling to me-
J
At the oct 25th hearing I presented my case
against I recounted several instances
of domestic violence stage abuse, and at that
point I had an order of protection against him
which included the Brady law prohibiting gin

2010-334

At the second divorce temporary orders hearing on Nov. 15th 2010, opposing counsel begins an argument that the divorce hearings are going to have a "negative impact on Mr. Career."

The Judge, at the conclusion of this having, dissmisses the order of protection, and even though I told the judge that he made threating gun motions toward me, had wished me dead, and had physically harmed me many times, dissmissed the Brady Provision. She also granted him unsupervised visitation even though at that point he had had only two JSP visits and had tested positive for illegal drug use.

At our third and final heaving before trials begin, the Judge was reminded again that my unemployment insurance ran out on Nov. 30th. She had been asked at the Previous two hearings to make temporary order judgements for Child & spousal support. As of today-Dec. 13, 2010, She has still not made any orders on financial support. Mr. has still not disclosed his financial records, and the Judge has not ordered him to do so. I entered evidence that other Legislatures fear physical altack by Mr. and I testified on this date

that the extraordinary long is: +20 gran 234 to Mr. combined with encountring his per girlfriend who yelled several times at our daughter, had caused our minor child Ruby such terrible daily head aches that I ended up taking her to Urgent Care she has also allowed him to have his gen.

In conclusion I do believe strongly
that Judge has violated Canon
I and Conon Z, Rules 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 of the
Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct 2009, and as
a result has Put in potential danger myself
and minor Child, has denied minor Child
Child Support, recklessly allows Mr.
Unsupervised visitation whout anger management
classes, sufficient drug testing, and whout
completing the mandated Parenting class.

I strongly believe that this judge is not capable of being impartial in this case, and I do believe I am not receiving fair and impartial justice.