## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-006	
Complainant:		No. 1409610102A
Judge:		No. 1409610102B

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge prejudiced his case by declaring him guilty in the middle of a trial. After reviewing the allegations and information provided by the court, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The proceeding in question was not a trial but was an evidentiary hearing to determine if "other act" evidence would be admissible. The complainant misunderstood the context and content of the judge's statements regarding his potential guilt. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 27, 2011

FOR THE COMMISSION
/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 27, 2011

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Your name:

2011-006

\_ Date:

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

\_\_\_ Judge's name:

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please
provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this c
plant paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not well
back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.
Therudae Mea June In
It process of a trail separation state
Veresses Taggular to report to ture
min which is
Chillen Do oven That is do to
Chamches of whining The case she sust
Brammare ben salt the case only fust
14 The self from The cash o Kongo
of gose agenst the ethnic rules of for
Example Rule No 210. (A) 3.9. Prejudge substantially
Indetenine my chances which was chilical, and
Significance at my Tial Shuck as if the evinces
Would of have changed the Verdict and sentence
My layer at the Time was Leonard Brown
If he J. B. would had taken the time to
Lisen to my side of what i had to say
Tand looked at back alounds and until
Of read all the papper work that my
First lavel Richards and R. In will will
the whould at hem premised in the
Belittelen me and Thing me will of
Me he the tal and being presudice agenst
I were me like dung.
on have had theel layer's and two judges.
The last loyer did The same thing as my
Leckent his did not even look
Stuffe that i whole to her one looked
Num of my pappers nother help plense
V O V V

in you Thank you tom 48 years old French spend to oppes of vere evet i am