State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-008	
Complainant:		No. 1367210740A
Judge:		No. 1367210740B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge demonstrated bias and violated his due process rights by failing to rule on his numerous motions. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. An online minute entry dated December 21, 2011, revealed that the court addressed all filings by the complainant, including several motions that had been on hold because of a pending appeal. The record shows no pleadings have been pending more than 60 days in the complainant's case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 12, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 12, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Your Name: Judges' Name: _Date: 01-4-2011 ITIGATION OF MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERINA COURT PASC PUTS OR BRINGS T OFFICE INTO disrepute. Jubbe Conduct AND The SUPPEME COUR Rules For The Superior Cours PURSUARIT TO AND IN VIOLOSION The Cover Records charty reven appearance of Faparaticity Alministative Responsibiliare. Vehicle TORT Complessed in The COURT CASE NO. CV-2008 Through December, 2010 The complex sunt PROCESS was consinually wistered by JUGE which objectly extrablishes a usurprion of Power AN OBSTRUCTION of Justice The ROPORS MINUTO ENTRIES reveal A purroux Toward The Plainiff Virolation of the Plantit 18th 2008 THAN September 22 ND 2004 The JUSGE Fasted To MAKE ANY RULINGS ON ANY of Plankings. JANUARY 04, 2011 (Attach additional sheets, as needed)

JUSGE Has Failed TO Bule on The Plaintiff Motion for Lowe of Court To TOKE The Detendant (Sex 60 SandHoz's) deposition" IT is more Thur char, That Judge HAS VIOLUTED ARIZONAS CODES OF Judicial Conduct CAMONS! Rules 1.1, 1.2 AND 1.3, CANON 2 Rules 2,2,2.3 AND 2.5 in Violution of ARTICLE 2 Subscerior 11 of the Arizonia STATE CONSTITUTION which requires That Justice in All PASOS be administered openly AND without uniceessary delay AND ARTICLE 6 SUB-SOLFION 21 which provides That Every Muter Submitted to a Judge of the Superior Court FOR his decision shall be decided on within 60 days FROM The Submission There of A.R.S 12-128.01, FURTHERMORE A.R.S. SUB-SCETTON 11-4202(A) prohibirs A Justice of the feace From receiving componisation; fa eauso remains pending AND undercemined for sixty-days ofter it has been Sub mitted for decisions Judys clourly violated CANNON 2 Rdo 2.6 by donying The plasarift All of his Rights to be hourd FURTHOR MORO, THE COURTS BUTING ON JANUARY 29th, 2010 of a Summary Sudgement in Favor of the defendant was Executed in ExpANTO AND NO MINUIC ENTRY was CLOT SORUER UPON The PLANTIFF by The COURT CLERK. 3 of 4

PONCHUSION

	JUDGE KNOWING / MAlroious/4 with MALICO
••••••	AND FORETHOUGHT Violated The Complainmers Rights To
	Due PROCESS, The RIGHT TO be hourd AND The Cours
	Rocard 15 chour, Ethere is No Rocards!) The
	complainant Respectfully Reguest A tull scale invostigation
	TNTO This MATTER. I REGUEST Thur Judge
	be Removed From the beneft AS A Superior Correct
	Julyo AND NOT Allowed to place the Judicial
	ADMINISTRATION IN FURTHER disroputo, IT is so prayal
	project project
	Respectfully Roguested and Submitted on this 5th
	Respectfully Roguested and Submitted on this 5th
	CC: ARIZONA STATE SURREME COURT
	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS
	HIGHWAI STRATION OF FICE OF THE COURTS
	CHAIRMAN OF THE UNITED STATES
	Service Jubiciary Committee WASHINGTON, D.C.

4 of 4