

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-070

Complainant: No. 1354300007A

Judge: No. 1354300007B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two municipal court hearing officers violated his rights by improperly refusing to grant his request for a continuance and by tampering with the court record. After considering the allegations and listening to the recordings of the hearings, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of either hearing officer. The complainant requested a continuance in order to go home and retrieve paperwork showing one of the charges against him had been dropped. Whether the court should have granted the continuance involves a procedural issue outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 30, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 30, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Complaint

On January 12th 2009 a civil traffic hearing was held in two Phoenix Municipal Courtrooms. Judge _____ and Judge _____ were in direct violation of "The American Disability Act OF 1990(ADA). {42USC 1201ET SEQ}, 28CFR PART 35(TITLE II, Department of Justice) and the 14th Amendment Rights, " due process and equal protection of the law" and Ariz. Rev Status 13-2407 - Tampering with a Public Record . The defendants/conspirators plan was to beguile _____ of many clearly written established laws that were made to protect an American citizen in the court of law. _____ has a file on record with the United States Social Security Disability Office as a "qualified individual". The plaintiff pleaded with the judges for a continuance in order to prepare for an unaware charge. The plaintiff was unsuccessful in a gallant attempt for the sake of fairness thus trying to cope with their abusive form of discriminatory judicial unfairness. The defendants in the courtroom used wanton attacks with extreme prejudice to humiliate, embarrass and defame the plaintiff's good character, furthermore

these abusive actions of municipal court judges, who severely abuse their power of authority, have shown no regards to Federal established laws that protect The People of The United States of America.

Due to the abuse and improprieties of the judges did express his inability to be competent at that time and unable to proceed with the hearing and prevail. Judge and Judge did not follow proper court procedures in determining the plaintiff's mental state. They denied the plaintiff's right to a psychological evaluation by a health care professional when the plaintiff's preexisting mental disorder was aggravated. The aggravation was a result of the mental anguish and frustration and distress that the plaintiff's received from discrimination from a corrupt court and dishonest judges. The plaintiff has an lengthy history of courtroom injustices and encounters anxiety and panic attacks in stressful situations regarding his lawful rights. All of that information is definitive and on record at Terros Mental Health Services.