State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-077 Complainant: No. 1415410163A Judge: No. 1415410163B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased and ignored the law in her child custody case. She further claimed that a review of the record would prove the judge was incompetent and unprofessional. The commission considered the allegations and listened to the recordings of the hearings and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge was firm but was not unprofessional or biased and did not intentionally ignore the law. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 29, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disgualification of a judge.

March 2, 2011

DO-2008-

Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct;

My name is since Sept. 3, 2008 I have received \$75.00 in child support payments-paid directly to me not thru the Clearing House. July 2, 2009 I went back to court for Child Support Enforcement- Child Support was reduced from \$450.00 to \$75.00 a month to be paid thru the Clearing House. Review hearing set for 90 days- Nov. 12, 2009.

I attended the Nov 12th hearing telephonically.

appeared in person. Jeff Vincent was present as was Sally Deffendoll. Judge

again informed Kenneth to pay Child Support and pay it thru the Clearing House, as he had made one payment of \$75.00 directly to me in 90 days. Judge then informed the Court that Child Support was not on today's Calendar. Court advised me to file for contempt for failure to pay Child Support if I wanted that issue to be heard. Judge also stated that some people just dont pay Child Support.

Kenneth informs the Court that he might be diabetic and will be filing for disability thru the railroad to help pay Child Support. He presented no proof of this statement nor was he asked by Judge for proof. Judge stated that if Kenneth was in the process of applying for benefits and I filed for Child Support Enforcement, she would not find him in contempt nor would she look upon me favorably.

"A Judge shall be faithfull to the Law and shall decide matter on the basis of the facts and applicable Law and shall maintain proffesional competence in it."

In my accounting of the Court proceedings of Nov. 13th you will see just a few instances of Judge not up holding the Law or her own rulings. She requires no proof of statements that affect people's lives. Judge counterdicts herself and goes against her own rulings. Pull the tapes of the court proceedings of Nov 13th and listen to her and how she conducts herself in court. Judge does not abide judicial conduct nor does she maintain proffesional competence in the Law.