## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-144	
Complainant:		No. 1419810929A
Judge:		No. 1419810929B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge conspired with the prosecutor and defense counsel to improperly convict him and further failed to report the attorneys to the State Bar of Arizona for professional misconduct. After reviewing the allegations along with various other supplemental materials provided by the complainant, the case history and the appellate memorandum decision, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 2, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 2, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

**CONFIDENTIAL** 

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 **FOR OFFICE USE ONLY** 

2011-144

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:

Judge's name

Date: 5/30/11

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ON MAY 14, 2008 I WAS INDICTED IN YUMA
ON MAY 14, 2008 I WAS INDICTED IN YUMA COUNTY FOR FAILING TO PEGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER
(SEE EXHIBITS) IHIS HADDENED 7 MONTHS AFTER
I WAS RELEASED FROM THE STOTE PRISOD IN SAN
WIS. AN INDICTMENT WARRANT WAS ISSUED AND
BOND WAS SET AT 200 THOUSAND DOLLARS. (SEE
EXHIBITS) THIS CHARGE WAS LATER DROPDED ON MAY,
28, 2009, AFTER I WAS CONVICTED IN VALADAI CO-
UNITY. ON AUGUST 5, 2008 I WAS ARRESTED IN
CAMP VERDE, ARIZONA, BY THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL
SERVICE, WITH THE CAMP VEEDE MARSHALS OFFICE ASS-
ISTING. (SEE EXHIBITS: POLICE ROPORT) INSTEAD OF EXTRA-
DITING ME TO YUMA COUNTY, THE COUNTY THAT HOD
JURISOICTION, THEY ELECT TO TRY ME IN YAVADAI
Cox with The Cox mand with a significant the law with
THE CASE BELONGED TO ANOTHER INDISDICTION, DEDUTY
COULT AT TO THOSE CLARAN WORTHYCK PRESENTS THE
CASE TO A GRAND JURY. HE USES RUSSELL GROVER OF THE CAMP VERDE MARSHALS OFFICE AS THE
OF THE CAMP VERDE MARSHAL'S OFFICE AS THE
DULY WITNESS. MR. WOLFINGER KNEW HE DION'T
HAVE JURISDICTION AND I'M' SURE HE READ THE
POLICE REPORT (SEE EXHIBITS: POLICE REPORT) SO HE
KNEW THAT HIS WITNESS WAS DERVEING AIMSELF
SINCE MR. WOLFINGER INDUCED HIM TO BO SO.
I INCLUDED THIS IN MY PETITION FOR REUJEW. (RULE 32)
· v

THE USE OF DERJURY TO GET AN INDICTMENT IN-UNIDATES THAT INDICTMENT. ETHAN WOLFINGER USED PERJUROUS TESTIMONY, EVEN INDULES THAT TESTIMONY IN VIOLATION OF THE RULES of Professional CONDUCT. (RULE 42: ER 3.3. CANDOR TOWARD THE TRIBUNAL A(1)(3) MISCON DUCT ER 8.4(C) AND RUSSELL GROVER IS GUILTY OF PER-JURY-SINCE IT'S PORT OF THE RECORD IN BLOCK AND WHITE) SINCE THIS WAS INCLUDED IN MY PETITION FOR PEUEW, THE TRIAL JUDGE KNOWS ABOUT IT. I ALSO INCLUDED A LETTER TO THE YAVADAI COUNTY ATTORNEY SHEILD S. POLK INFORMing HER of THESE VIOLATIONS IN HER COPY OF MY PETITION. SO FAR THE JUDGE HAS NOT ACT-ED ON THIS IQUORING HER DISCIPLINARY RESPONS-THE DRECEDINGS. SINCE THE INDICTMENT IS A POCUMENT THAT WAS OBTAINED BY DISHONESTY, FRAND, DECEIT, OR MISREPRESENTATION, THEN SIGNED BY THE PERSON WHO IS GUILTY OF THESE VIOLATIONS DOES IT NOT BECOME A WRITEN INSTRUMENT" AS DESCKIBED IN THE FORGERY STATUTES? ANYWAY, ONCE THE INDICTMENT IS "HANDED DOWN THE CASE IS QUEN TO DIVISION AS THE TRIAL JUDGE. THREE WITH SHE HAD TO KNOW THERE WAS A JURISDICTIONAL ISSUE SINCE I WAS A TARGET OF MULTIPLE

STATE AGENCIES. THIS CASE WAS INITIATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFTEY WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PROVIDING SOME EULDENCE, SOME MANUFACTURED, SOME NOT. SO ITWAS PROBABLY DECIDED THAT THE BEST CHANCE FOR CONVICTION WAS THE YAVAPAI COUNTY CASE. NEXT ON THE LIST OF EXHIBITS is A 17.4 HEARING WHERE THE JUDGE GIVES INFORMATION THAT ISN'T ENTIRELY TRUE. I HAD ONLY ONE HISTORICAL PRIOR. (MY SENTENCE REFLECTS THAT: 5 YEARS) SHE'S ENGEGING IN CONDUCT DESCRIBED IN THE CODE of PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT EZ 8.4. MISCONDUCTC). (SEE FEOTNOTES) MY DEFENCE TO THESE CHARGES WAS THOT WHEN I WAS RELEASED FROM PRISOD IN MARCH OF 1994 I WAS TOWN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, THAT SINCE MY CRIME AND CONVICTION FELL IN THE OCTOBER 1, 1978 TO JULY 27, 1983, "WINDOW," (THEIR NAME FOR IT) WHEN REQUISTRATION REQUIRE-MENTS WELL REPEALED, THAT I WASN'T REQUIRED TO REGISTER. I SULLESSFULLY COMPLETED PAROLE IN AUGUST OF 1994 AND LIVED IN THESTATE FOR 14 YEARS, UNREGISTERED. IN THAT TIME THERE WAS POLICE CONTACT (SEE EXHIBITS: CRIMINAL HISTORY-LAST THREE PAGES) INCLUDING A IDENTITY THEFT CONVICTION AND ANOTHER

PRISON SENTENCE. I HAD POLICE CONTACT AS LATE AS AUGUST 3RD of 2007 WHEN I WAS ARRESTED IN SURPRISE, ARIZONA, FOR A
PAROLE UIDLATION. I NEVER HAD ANY PROB-LEMB WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT FOR NOT BEING REGISTERED UNTIL MY FRAUDULANT INDICTMENT IN HUMA COUNTY. (YES, I WAS A RESIDENT OF MARICOPA CUNTY WHEN THE INDICTMENT IN YUMA COUNTY WAS HANDED DOWN - THOMAS VARELY SHOULD BE DISBARRED NEXT EXHIBITIS A LETTER I got from my DEFENCE Cansel (COURT DAD-OINTED) THE NIGHT BEFORE TRIAL. THIS LETTER ALONG WITH THE NEXT EXHIBIT (MOTION IN LIMINE) SHOW'S COLLUSION BETWEEN ADAM DAGE AND TIM GRIER WITH THE TRIAL JUDGE MAKING INACCURATE STATEMENTS. ( SEE MOTION IN LIMINE FOOTNOTES FOR THE SAKE OF BREVITY ) THE STATE IS NOW TRYING TO EXTEND STATE US NOBLE (STATE US NOBLE 171 ARIZ. 171,829 P. 21 1217) TO MY KASE. THE TRIAL JUDGE MISINTERPRETS THIS AND EXCLUDES MY DEFENCE AND THE JURY DOEBN'T GET TO HEAR THAT FOR 14 YEARS' I WAS NOT REGISTERED. THE TRIAL JUDGE DID THIS WITH BAD FAITH AND SHOWING NO FAIR-NESS TO THE OTHERSIDE. AT THIS TIME THERE MUST NOT HAVE BEEN A PUBLISHED CASE FOR MY BITUATION BECAUSE TWICE SHE TRIES

TO PUT THE OFFENCE INTO 1983, (SEE EXHIBITS: FORNOTES) ONCE AGAIN SHE'S BEING DECEIT-FULL AND NOT BEING COMPLETELY HONEST SEEN TO NOT BE READING THE WHOLE CONCL-USION IN NOBLE. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THERE'S AN -- EXCUSE ME- - SO PART OF THE CONCLUSION IS LEFT OFF THE PECCRO. (SEE EXHIBITS) NEXT EXHIBIT IS RUSSELL GROVERS POLICE REPORT. ALL THREE PAGES ARE SIGNED BY MR. GROVER IN ORDER TO SHOW THEIR VERALITY I WOULD ASSUME. NEXT EXHIBITS ARE THE EUIDENTIARY HEARING WHERE THE TRIAL JUDGE ALLOWED JURISDICTION TO BE ESTABLISHED, AND OF CURSE MR. GROVER'S TRIAL TESTIMONY. COMPARE THE POLICE REPORT TO WHAT WAS SAID (AND AUTON THE RECORD) IN COURT AND SEE ONE OF THE GROSSEST EXAMPLES OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EVER. IT BOGGLES THE MIND THAT A SITTING SUPERIOR QUET JUDGE WOULD ALICW THIS TYPE OF CONDUCT IN A COURT-ROOM. EACH LIE TOLD COULD BE COUNTED AS ONE COUNT OF DERJURY. SO MANY EXAMPLES OF UNTRUE STATEMENTS ARE MADE BY officers of THE COURT AND SWORN WIT-NESSES- ALMOST TOO NUMEROUS TO COUTT.