State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-183	
Complainant:		No. 1422910940A
Judge:		No. 1422910940B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a pro tem superior court judge unreasonably delayed consideration of his petition for post-conviction relief and then dismissed the petition as part of a long-running and wide-spread conspiracy. After reviewing the complaint and the court record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 9, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 9, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2011-183

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	•	
Your name: _	_ Judge's name:	_ Date: <u>7-11 - 20</u>
provide all of the important in plain paper of the same size to	our own words what the judge did that you belinames, dates, times and places related to your conceptaint, and you may attach ad tach copies of any documents you believe will he	omplaint. You can use this form or iditional pages. Do not write on the
	Had Requested To Review The Cour	Tfile Since 2007.
Judge	was Assigned To Case No: cre-	
- first Notice	e order from the Court clearly	y STATED; IT IS
ORDERED That Thi	s Matter be SET For Review on	June 10, 2010 before The
Honorable	Judge Pro-Tem, This is	
Party Need To be p	Vesent. This was Repeated a	on 6-17-2010 9-29-2010,
11-25-2010, 12-20-2010	, 1-20-2011 And 1-28-2011, ON	1 12-9-2010, Judge
was assigned to	POST - CONVICTI	on-Relief.
ON February 3, 2011	Lost - Convicti Ludge Stopped His delay Ruling on Motions Lissues Resp	15 on The file Review
And His Absurd	Ruling on Motions lissues Resp	lonse was ;
When The file is se	T for a file review The Court is	s examining The file To
See if The appropr	iate pleading have been filed a	on Time and what Needs
To be done Next in	Me Case, This is a furely admir	ristrative matter and
The Court does No	T Consider The Merits of The M	MATTER in Such a review,
	To keep The Case on Track,	· ·
	raudulent CASE The Court file	
	eadings were NOT filed, And a	
Friends of The Co	purt are Hindering False Court	- Public Records,
	3	
	Reasonable Request for The	File Review was due To
These FACTS Pina	County Court officials Refus	ses to Take Responsibility
for Their Action	· Whom Committed Fraudulen	IT ACTS TO COVER-UP
Their Evil AcTS	With The Help from Friends o	of The Court
This Case was N	bT Handled as per our Current	legal System And The
Jury's decision Wa	bt Handled as per our Current as due to being Mislead by Piv ce System	ral County Corrupted
So-called - Justin	ce System.	

1	IN Pinal County, ON June 23, 2006, Was The True Victim
2	of a D.P.S. officers Fraudulent Scheme, And The Hindered D.P.S. Dash-
3	Cam Video Tape That was falsely claimed NOT TO Exist Would have proved
4	The FACT That was innocent of the Allegations, And
5	Was Not Arrested That Day.
6	ON June 28, 2006, was arrested in Phoenix and was
7	clearly advised by O.P.S. ofc- John M. Richardson # 4003, Who Said, "WE
8	Know it was you because we Saw you on Video-Tape, " So it did Exist",
9	
Ю	ON June 29, 2006, Was Transported To The County Jail in Florence
	And Charged with Felony Flight, Resisting Arrest, Escape and false Reporting,
12	ON June 30Th 2006, Pinal County, Public Defender, Mary Wisdom
13	clearly advised There was a 24-Hour Violation, Therefore, Since
14	Special Master Judge, Samuel T. Goodman Was The only Judge available
_15	The Presiding Judge, Boyd T. Johnson appointed Judge Goodman under
16	The Rule To Perform his duties, whom Had, Dismissed all Charges
17	The Rule To ferform his duties, whom Had, Dismissed all Charges "Withprejudice" And Was Released, "CASE CLOSED"
18	
_19	Clearly Noted; ON June 30th 2006, IF, That was initial
20	Appearance Judge Goodman NEVER Mention That was being
21	charged for Any charges Then or in The Future, but what charges
22	Were Written down were dismissed "With prejudice" And NOT "WITHOUT
23	- Prejudice,
24	
25	ON July 6, 2006, was Falsely Arrested by O.P.S. ofc. John Richardson
26	#4003 And Det- C.P. Hammock # 4043, With "No Complaint", No Arrest Warrant,

(

(

	And with No Probable Cause. When asked to See a Warrant
2	ofc Richardson Clearly Said, "WE DONT HAVE ONE", When asked
3	"Twice" what The charges were for They born Refused to Respond,
L	Then asked The Three back up Officers why They were There To Arrest
5	Him, ONE replied And Said, I do believe we are here To arrest you for
6	The Same charges from before
7	was falsely Arrested and Transported to The Florence Jail.
8	During That Drive ofc-Richardson asked Det-Hammock, "So What Are
9	We going To Charge Him WiTh Now?"
ı	demanded To See a Warrant, AT That Time Det-Hammock
	Made a call and Mentioned warrant Several Times Then Hung-up and
12	Told ofc-Richardson That, They Now Have a warrant and its Coming
13	from a judge in Eloy and its going to be faxed to the Jail and should be
	There when we get There. When we Entered The booking Room
15	asked To See The Warrant, And Was Denied, (Because it
16	Did Not Exist) And That's When of c- Richardson Again Said " So
	Really, what are we going To Charge Him With Now!" Hammock
18	Replied, "I don't know, WE CANT charge Him With Resisting Arrest
19	NOW! WITH That absurd STATEMENT Made demand To
20	be released, ofc-Richardson Made a Call Then Hung-up and Filled
21	OUT TWO AVYEST booking Records, ONE For "Unlawful flight" And for
25	False Swearing bx #83546.
23	was Then Placed in a cell on false Charges And Against
24	His Will,
25	
26	
Verkenmerkelinkenmensteranssoranssorans	

<u>t</u>	ON July 7, 2006, appeared for an illegal initial appear-	
	ance before The Presiding Civil Judge, William J. O'Neil, Whom clearly	وسيوسيد
3	advised That He was being charged for "False Swearing", A bond	
4	Was Set for \$7.500.00, And The freliminary Heaving was for July 17, 2006	-
5	Was Then returned to false imprisonment on false Charges!	
b		
7	Clearly Noted: Judge O'veil NEVER Mention The Charges "Unlawful Flight"	
8	Clearly Noted: Judge O'Neil NEVER Mention The Charges "Unlawful flight", "Escape" or "Resisting Arrest", or that Any other charges would be filed.	
9		
<u>l</u> o	ON July 14, 2006, was advised of a Court Hearing That	
	Was Via- Video - Transmission before The Hon. Judge, Joseph R. Georgini,	
	And This is How This Heaving Went, Judge Georgini Clearly Said;	
13	Mr. Your being charged for Felony Flight, Then Stopped,	
19	And Said "CORRECTION", That was for False Swearing, I first Saw	
15	The letter "F" And it was NOT what I Thought it was, Anyway,	
16	Mr. Your being charged with "False Swearing" How do you plea?	
17	"Not Guilty, Replied,"	
18		
19	Judge Georgini Never Mentioned Any changes for Unlawful flight,	
20	Escape or Resisting Arrest, "All False Charges," And, as for The Two	
21	arrest/booking Records # 83546, why was only The "False Swearing"	
22	charge filed with the Court And Provided to both Judges?	
<u> </u>	Therefore, How could charges That were Never Mentioned at	
24	The illegal Arraignment Heaving be on the Minute Entry Action And	
25	The charge That was Mentioned, False Swearing Was Not?" And	
26	There are No Charges Mentioned in The Court Reporters Transcripts	OHES
		Little Spirits Co.

(

Ĺ

parallel Maria annual para de sala annual prime	
1	Which is a Must for the Next Court Hearing Proceedings That
2	Was ON August 28, 2006, for The Charge "False Swearing" According
3	To Judge Georgini. And There was No Indictment in The Court
	Room as falsely claimed in The altered Court Reporters Transcripts
5	Allowed by Pinal County Superior Court Judges.
6	Here is a factual Claim To That FACT That There was No
	Indictment in the Court Room, After returned to
	false imprisonment,
9	Sheriff-s Deputy, Mr. G. Ibarra appeared To Cell
	And Served an Illegal Indictment for Unlawful flight
	"Escape", and "Resisting Arrest To defraud as part of
12	The Role Play with final Countys Fraudulent Scheme,
13	Charges that were dismissed "With prejudice", Nor charged with
14	in an initial Appearance or Arraignment Hearing in Pinal County.
15	
16	On January 28, 2009, Sheriff Paul Baben Staff Members (Commander)
17	Jamie Valenzuela and Terry Hunt Complied with Reasonable
	Request for a copy of The Service date and Time indictment was
	Served, That was computer Altered WIM-INTENT To defraud
20	
21	"That's in a Sealed Envelope in The Courts file, Index of Record #00174
77	That Trial Judge, Delia Neal knew about before falsely Sentencing
23	on 2/2/2009. Judge also knew about This
24	Altered Document Among others during His one year Delay file Review,
25	