## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-190	
Complainant:		No. 1423510754A
Judge:		No. 1423510754B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace made inconsistent and incorrect rulings. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve the judge's legal ruling, which are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 26, 2011

FOR THE COMMISSION
/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 26, 2011

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 CO Your name: Instructions: Use this form or plain pa

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE					
Your name:	Judge's name:	Date:	7/29/2011		
Please describe in your own us understand your concern, Include only copies of origin	n or plain paper of the same size to file a complain words what the judge said or did that you believe conduction, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times a nal documents or court recordings that are relevant and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.	onstitutes judicial miscond nd places where the condu	duct. To help		
Judge opened proceedings	s by denying an oral motion to amend due date	s for rental. Then ignore	es his own motion		
And rules against the Def	endant's and not only evicts them but makes th	em pay rent twice for th	e same month.		
Had the Judge properly we	eighed the evidence and allowed for responsive	e pleading he would			
noticed that the rental term	ns were month to month, 20th to the 20th. And	l he allowed for an errar	nt		
eviction. This Judge is ma	aybe too old to be hearing cases anymore. He	certainly does not weigh	facts or evidence		
properly. Defendants v	were paid in full and plaintiffs managed to win	by misrepresentation of	facts.		
Plaintiffs even conceeded	that thier facts were wrong, the case should ha	we been dismissed			
4,44					
<u></u>					
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-				
			·		
			<u></u>		
		*****			
			<u> </u>		
<del></del>	7				