State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-192	
Complainant:		No. 1423710211A
Judge:		No. 1423710211B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly disclosed a private letter she sent to him and was biased against her son in his case. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Under Rule 2.9(B) of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, when the court receives an improper ex parte communication, the judge is required to advise the parties and give them an opportunity to respond. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 26, 2011

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer
George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 26, 2011

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT AGAINST & JUDGE			
Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 7/26/11	
Please describe in your own words w us understand your concern, be spec Include only copies of original docu one side of the paper only, and keep	that the judge said or did that you lifted and list all of the names, dates iments or court recordings that are a copy of the complaint for your	complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help s, times and places where the conduct occurred the relevant to your allegations. Print or type or files.	
On 5/3/11, I		appeared	
on his divor	ce proceeding		
ratare of th	e proceedings	and the obvious	
disdain Judg		www for	
- En	ew it was	not going to go	
	95 a result	I was extremely	
		ified for fear of	
losing vositar	tions of any	Kind with my	
grandsons and			
		ranner against my	
Soon to be	X-daughter	in law	
On 5/4/11.	I.	. wrote a	
personal letter	r to Judge	reasding	
my testimony	and my cor		
	ng grandsons		
letter was r	ecieved on	5/5/11 by the	
courts and	Judge	<u> </u>	
On 6/6/11	Judge	sent this	
On 4/6/11 Dersonal letter	v to both n	in Son,	
and mo	+-daughter	in law; a what I was my relationship letter was	
, OCCOMP	bishing exact	g what I was	
Concerned abo	out damasine	my relationship	
with all par	tres This	letter was	
No face and de	00-1-00 (11		

7/20/11

Pad - Comparat against a Judge

person stands of a second of the My complaint against scage is it who letter I personally Sent to the Sudge was is not allowed to be part of the court hearing than, who not just send the letter back to me as improperly Lited and this not allowed Neither Petitioner nor Respondent Should of ever seen this letter it it was not a partiet the Court proceedings that sudge Minself Porchibited. Judge acted notionly unethically by allowing this letter to be seen by Pertitioner and Respondent but completely irresponsible when he directed this letter, that was damaging

to both parties and harming my relationship with my grandsons.

If this letter was not going to be allowed to be a part of a court action then the parties involved in the court action of should not of (over)

been privy to this intormation One does not get both. Eigher 4his letter should of peenadmitted as part of the heavone or the letter should of been returned to the one who wrote it.

Judge should be reprinanded for negligent Dehavoor and removed from any faither court action on 445 case 55 be veue Judge deliberately sent 415 letter to book padtoes in this court action as Larthar proof of his bites behavior are not only showed in court agarst But hes proved 14 by sendink (Respondent) a copy of this Yeter Respectfully,