State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-222	
Complainant:		No. 1426100390A
Judge:		No. 1426100390B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was rude, fell asleep during his trial, and made inappropriate comments.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the relevant portions of the recording of the trial, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 1, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 1, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
-	- t-				
2011	-999				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 8-19-11
words what the judge did that yo times and places that will help u	ou believe constitutes judicial misconduct.	
went before Juc	ige	on 3-8-11/29-11.
During this ca	ase I was not allow	red to ask questions
while I was a	on the witness stand	even when I did
not understand	the questions I	was being asked.
and I was a	epentedly reprimanded	of by the Judge to
	or no answers whe	
clarification o	F a question to hel	p me comprehend
what was being	, asked. Additionally	, Judge
took cat nops	during this short tr	sial one of the Jucy
members went -	to sleep and was	not reprimanded,
Jury members	rell phones rang as	well as a witnesses'
cell phone wh	ile this witness wa	s on the stand!
the Judge sho	wed no care or co	incern For these
occurences tak	ing place in the con	urt room during a
trial. Judge		uso led the Jury
by divulging	my personal hearth	history to the Tury
when this inf	ormation was not	pertinent to this
	Formation Swayed.	
	and confirmed to the	
•		. Additionally the Judge
refused to all or	N my Lawyer to sh	now any pictures of
		and forced my Lawyer
	that might help to	enlighten the Jury.
Judge		F my Lawyer, my witness
and my Seif wa	s Biast and unfair wh	nich swayed the Jury

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-222

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your ow words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, date times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original coudocuments. Print of type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. Gantinued: and jed to an infavorable out come From the Tury members who were not given all of the paper only and shown by the Turdge that he felt this case was menial and not important.	Your name:		Judge's name	e:	Da	te: 8- 9- 1
the Jury members who were not given all of Information and shown by the Tudge that he felt this case. Was menial and not important	words what the ju times and places t documents. Print	dge did that you believe on that will help us understate or type on one side of the	constitutes judicia and your concerns the paper only, and	ll misconduct. Be . You may attach keep a copy of th	specific and list all additional pages b he complaint for yo	of the names, dates, ut not original court our files.
To For mation and shown by the Tudge that he felt this case was menial and not important	the Jury	, members	who	viere no	t given	UL OF
he felt this case, was menial and not important	In For m	ation and	shown	by the	Judge	that
	he fel	t this cas	e was	menial	and not	important.
						,