State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-224	
Complainant:		No. 1426310667A
Judge:		No. 1426310667B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge delayed issuing rulings beyond 60 days.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the response from the judge, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The complainant's attorney filed several motions in succession raising the same issues. The judge dealt with the final and most comprehensive of the motions, rendering the prior filings moot. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 12, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 12, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-224

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 9/2/11
Please describe in your own v us understand your concern, Include only copies of origin	or plain paper of the same size to file a complain words what the judge said or did that you believe complete be specific and list all of the names, dates, times a mal documents or court recordings that are relevant to the second sec	onstitutes judicial misconduct. To help nd places where the conduct occurred.
60 daxi	required.	ug within the
of him to	had more than 2 in 177 and 78 day ignore.	r that he has
Copy	y of * Motion For R	uling is (attached)
	veed rulings to I	an able to appeal.
with home	an beings who need	anners. He from that beach.
the job, should a the job	Judge doer not he should atop down not be paid for fa that is extructed	want to do N. A bindge to him.
ON S re	em also waiting for a	new trial and
beer since	cent hearing for a math error by Judge July 27th. It has get a ruling on this	tory repeats itself,
I WILL NOT	get a rolling on the	1 EITHER LICE STRONG

(Attach additional sheets as needed)